

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-314

---

Judge:	No. 1061314586A
Complainant:	No. 1061314586B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge had improperly denied his request to represent himself.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 13, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 13, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013 314

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

NOV 25 2013

Your Name \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

ON DEFENDANT FILED A MOTION EXERCISING MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO REPRESENT  
AND DEFEND MYSELF PRO-PER IN ALL FUTURE PROCEEDINGS VOLUNTARILY AND INTELLIGENTLY. I  
REQUESTED "ORAL ARGUMENT" ON A HEARING  
WAS HELD BEFORE JUDGE WHO DENIED MY MOTION TO REPRESENT MYSELF  
WITHOUT ALLOWING ME AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, TALK OR ARGUE SAID MOTION. JUDGE  
DENIAL OF MY MOTION CANNOT BE SUPPORTED BY FINDING OF FACT OR CONCLUSION OF  
LAW. JUDGE SOLE REASON AND EXPLANATION AS TO DENYING MY MOTION IN HER OWN  
WORDS, "YOU CANNOT REPRESENT YOURSELF BECAUSE YOU'RE IN " " Obviously  
THIS EXPLANATION, REASONING AND SUBSEQUENT DENIAL HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH LAW,  
DUE PROCESS, OR GUARANTEED CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. JUDGE BLATANT RULING  
DENYING MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO REPRESENT MYSELF IS IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE UNITED  
STATES CONSTITUTION, THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION, AND THE ARIZONA RULES OF CRIMINAL  
PROCEDURES. THEREBY ESTABLISHING FUNDAMENTAL ERROR AND JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT.  
I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST YOUR COMMISSION EVALUATE AND INVESTIGATE THIS VERY  
IMPORTANT MATTER AND PROMPTLY ENSURE THAT HONORABLE JUDGE  
PERSONAL OPINION AND POSITION DO NOT CONTINUE TO INFLUENCE AND OVER-RIDE THE CONSTITUTIONAL  
OATH AND LAWS SHE VOWED AND SWORE TO UPHOLD. BASED ON THE FACTS PRESENTED AS WELL  
AS AN INVESTIGATION INTO THIS COMPLAINT / CASE IT WILL BECOME IMMEDIATELY APPARENT  
THE DEFENDANT HAS NOT HAD EVEN A REMOTE CHANCE OF FAIRNESS AND IMPARTIALITY  
BEFORE JUDGE IN THIS CASE, DEFENDANT HAS A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO  
REPRESENT SELF, TO A SPEEDY TRIAL, TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW, TO FAIRNESS AND IMPARTIALNESS.  
ALL OF WHICH HAS BEEN DENIED TO DEFENDANT BY HONORABLE

Thank You Very much FOR YOUR TIME, ATTENTION AND INVESTIGATION INTO THIS UNJUST MATTER.

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)