

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-318

Judge:	No. 1021114819A
Complainant:	No. 1021114819B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge ignored all her evidence and ruled against her because he did not like her.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 13, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 13, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

DEC 03 2013

Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

1501 W. Washington, Suite 227

Phoenix, AZ 85007-33231

Re:

Gentlemen:

I would like to report what I believe to be an unfair judicial practice. I went through a divorce, which was granted in [redacted] I lost everything due to the fact that the judge did not like me. My attorney, [redacted] of [redacted], Arizona, is the one that told me that.

To give you a quick background, medically I am not well. I have [redacted], a [redacted] in the [redacted] leg from the [redacted], and [redacted] problems due to the [redacted]

I appeared in court the first day of trial. Due to my illnesses, I was permitted to appear telephonically thereafter. The day of the telephonic court date, I was ill and had been to the doctor that morning and was having problems with my medication. I should mention that the day I get a [redacted] shot, I have [redacted] problems. I did not receive my shot that day, however, I did have a reaction from the medication for my illness which caused problems during the telephonic court date.

I thought Arizona was a community property state. Please be aware that my ex-husband and I owned [redacted] in [redacted] a [redacted]; a [redacted] Truck at the time of the divorce. This divorce was under the name of [redacted] versus [redacted]. I [redacted] disposed of the [redacted] and the [redacted] truck prior to the divorce. No one gave him permission to do that. The preliminary injunction that was issued said not to dispose of property unless needed for necessities of life or legal fees. I did sign a [redacted] note for the [redacted] property to borrow the money for my legal fees. Mr.

charged me to represent me. Mr. did sign a quitclaim deed to the property, which I sold for Please be aware that I had owned a home prior to the marriage which I sold for which Mr. took that money. When I sold the property, I purchased a home in My lives in and that is why I moved there. Mr. released all claim to the property and I released all claim to his property in

My attorney entered into evidence my personal bank account statements along with the joint bank account statements which indicated how much money Mr. actually got from me. The court ignored all the evidence that was entered on my behalf. Mr. testified that the that I received a quitclaim deed for the property was actually paid on the property. I have a letter from the mortgage company showing he only paid on the property. He perjured himself under oath during the divorce trial.

In the divorce the judge ordered that Mr. was to receive the the and the property and that I owed Mr. over for the property. There was never an agreement that Mr. would receive any money from the property. Mr. told me that there was no chance of having a rehearing because the judge that heard the case would be the judge that decided if I could have a rehearing or not.

Due to the fact that I could not relinquish the property, I was found in contempt of court at a later hearing. I had informed legal assistant that I was borrowing money from someone else to pay off the property that I gave to someone else for the loan of the money for the legal fees. It was testified to in court that the property was valued at At this later contempt hearing the judge granted a judgment in the amount of for the property to Mr. That was way more than my one-half interest. In addition, Mr. charged Mr. to take me back to court but the court ordered that I owed for Mr. fees.

I am on welfare. I receive medicare and Medicaid, plus a little I have absolutely no money. I receive Social Security only. All of my other funds were taken by Mr. , money from my home, and Mr. took my account to purchase the truck. In addition, Mr. took my in stock during the marriage and I have lost everything and Mr. was granted everything. I do not know how I am going to pay Mr all this money that I owe. Mr. wants me to sell my Mr. has always threatened to leave me penniless. Mr. threatened a lot of things. He is a very big man. He threatened to kill my if he didn't get everything. There had been a notarized agreement prior to our separation, however Mr. said that if I did not agree to testify that it was not a good agreement, that he would carry through with his threats to harm my

I always thought the courts were supposed to be impartial, however after what happened to me due to the fact that the judge did not like me, leaves me in doubt as to the justice to be had in the Arizona court system. If possible, I would like this matter investigated as I believe I was treated very unfairly by the judge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,