

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-320

Judge:	No. 1025814821A
Complainant:	No. 1025814821B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly failed to disqualify herself and was biased.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 22, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 22, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013 320

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

engaged in nepotism towards firm of
to whom she has organizational relationships with through the
Council. The relationship is described in writing to
discovered Judge conflict months after time limits for response imposed by the
courts. Her actions appear to violate rules of judicial conduct CANON 2:2.(1),2.4(B)
As part of her nepotism towards the following
occured: Judge allowed erroneous assumptions made to the court
by Ms. , Mr.
, and rulings made in error by Judge regarding failure to comply with
A.R.S. 12-821.01 filing a claim status to stand and dismissed my case. Judge
ignored my attorney at the time motions regarding timely notice of
filing of claim, which he filed and Judge
vacated a status conference set knowing it would give me a
chance to explain my situation of having my attorney abandon me and bring forth the
court's error in dismissing my case. The action of vacating the status conference did not
give me a chance to be heard. This action alone appears to be a direct violation of
CANON 2:2.6(A) (Exhibit F) Judge did not assure that I was mailed a copy of her
final order which I never received to respond in a timely fashion
to.
Her actions appear to reflect several violations of The Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct
which I have listed in addition to a violation of Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary,
CANON 1.2:(1,3)(Exhibit H). Please consider my concerns and handle them
appropriately.

Thank You,