

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-337

Judge:	No1017611839A.
Complainant:	No1017611839B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a U.S. District Court judge was biased against her as a pro per litigant.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if Arizona judges have engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the conduct of federal judges. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 12, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

A copy of this order was mailed to the complainant on February 12, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona

Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013 337

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

ON I FILED A COMPLAINT IN THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF ARIZONA,
CASE NO. AGAINST
THE LAW OFFICE OF _____, LLC,
HUSBAND AND WIFE,
AND ODES FOR COUNTS OF COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.
THERE HAS BEEN A NUMBER OF PENDING MOTIONS BEFORE
THE JUDGE IN THIS CASE: MOTION TO DISMISS (DOC. 23);
MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT (DOC. 27); PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 16 (DOC. 29), AND
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS (DOC. 24). ON
JUDGE ENTERED AN "ORDER" (DOC. 30)
ADDRESSING THE PENDING MOTIONS LISTED ABOVE.
ON PAGE THREE (3) OF JUDGE ORDER AT FOOTNOTE #1
THE JUDGE MAKES CLEAR HIS CONTEMPT FOR PRO SE LITIGANTS -
HE HAS AUTOMATICALLY "ASSUMED", AND STATED THAT
ASSUMPTION, THAT I AM A LIAR AND MY AFFIDAVIT IS A LIE
THAT HE ASSURES DEFENDANTS THERE WILL BE PUNISHMENT IF
THEY CAN "SHOW" I LIED! RULE 2.3 (B) "A JUDGE SHALL NOT, IN
THE PERFORMANCE OF JUDICIAL DUTIES, BY "WORDS OR CONDUCT
MANIFEST BIAS OR PREJUDICE, OR ENGAGE IN HARASSMENT....."
JUDGE DEMONSTRATES HIS BIAS AND PREJUDICE, TOWARDS
ME, BY NOT PROMISING TO ME THE "PUNISHMENT" IF I CAN
SHOW DEFENDANTS HAVE LIED TO THE COURT, SEE ATTACHED
"ORDER" (DOC. 30).

(Attach additional sheets as needed)