

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-034

---

Judge:	No. 1105214874A
Complainant:	No. 1105214874B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge did not follow the proper procedure in entering his guilty plea.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review alleged legal error or the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 12, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 12, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2014 034

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I believe the judges dereliction of duty by violating certain court procedures such as following his own court orders and insuring that the arizona Rules of criminal procedure are complied with by all parties in order to legally dispose of the case by and through a plea agreement. By this happening and the prosecutor and appointed counsel going along with it, the Judge caused the willful denial of the defendants 5th amendment not to be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself and the 6th amendment of assistance of counsel for his defense for there was no plea agreement for counsel to sign attesting to the fact that the defendant understand and of the terms written there in. And also the 13th amendment which states that the petitioner must be duly convicted which is in accordance with the law, here the petitioner was criminally coerced into entering a fabricated plea agreement amendment of equal protection under the law. THE petitioner is to be accorded procedural justice as it also states in the arizona Rules of professional conduct 3.8 and the ~~arizona's~~ <sup>arizona's</sup> constitutional which states the same in article 2 Sub-section 4 and 24. (See the following exhibits to support the petitioner's claim of misconduct and manifest injustice)

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)