

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-041

Judge:	No. 1035212545A
Complainant:	No. 1035212545B

ORDER

The complainant expressed dissatisfaction with a ruling of a superior court judge.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 12, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

A copy of this order was mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 12, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Commission On Judicial Conduct

State of Arizona
Plaintiff,

No.:

Vs.

- Official Complaint -

Defendant.

No.:

Before:

Executive Director

A Judge of Maricopa County Superior Court's in between
 voluntarily traded deceased victim Estate
 valued at according to County Assessor's
 office records since at hrs. That's right,
 even if Arizona tax consultant, gave
 to County Assessor in at the

time of the bribes, perjury, conspiracy and misappropriation by public officers for reducing assessments on homes, businesses and apartment buildings of his clients.

Here's the problem for Plaintiffs: hardly anyone or trustee representative pay the heightened residence tax, and there's much Mr. did about his Arizona clients.

The question: Was Plaintiff or her husband of Arizona Department of Home of Mr. officer clients?

Thus, during defendant's County Court Ad- ministrative Hearing Court Court of Honourable a director and/or emergency-manger of the Warranty Deed Trust and Full Release and Recurve- yance in Matrix Financial Services Corporation and it broker- age firm First Title Agency- Inc, an all-but-defunct firm, was quietly negotiating a deal for the Plaintiff to pay from his unfunded liability pension work premium for its land of when Honourable brought subsidiaries of the bank from the trustee represent- ive. Needless to say, didn't mention the impending deal, and he got a sweet price.

What's more, the
a mortgage-operation center in
yee. Does
relative at such branch?

Bank would be opening up
Arizona with employ-
w have any

However, and her husband,
hasn't learned of the deceit until after the
estate was sold and their former shares sky-
rocketed. (By that point, an
non-divisible special power of attorney holder and (w
trustee representative of estate, was coerci
ed for her trial court testimony that convicted defen
dants.)

Take note, Warranty Deed Trust
was not evidence in defendant's trial.

Defendant's trial Counsel and Honorable
admission would empower defendants to requi
re this Commission on Judicial Conduct to conduct an
inquire for defendant's trial Counsel
in admission: "Here is their power of
attorney, there is no power of attorney, I'll take that
power of attorney and stick it up officer "in
Honorable said to :
"You know some people don't know how to keep there
mouth shut."

Nevertheless, soon thereafter the Plaintiff combining pensions and other special public employee privileges, total unfunded liability works out to per citizen - the only all-in-data the travel planning site TripAdvisor has multiple safeguards in place to deal with fraudulent reviews, says its vice president of global product. What is Anzina's pension unfunded liability works out to?

The -based provider of travel content such as reviews uses proprietary software to examine 25 factors, or "signals" in assessors' reviews. Those include the reviewer's IP address, says.

An IP address from inside a tax consultant like Mr. consultation firm is less likely to be written by a Attorney or Assessor tax auditor guest and more likely to be written by an employee, he says.

If a assessor-office employee is data entre its own common area tax exemption with Mrs is made-up reviews and if TripAdvisor proprietary software would have found out, they warn the offender to Attorney office. If the assessor-office employee continues its circumvention, it should have faced the reprobate court of Honourable

Which office remunerates us for these long-distance tax assessors office reduced deliveries?

Plaintiff's offenders can now be fined triple the damages of their insider-trading scheme and voluntarily agree to take leave of absence with monitored pay, defendant confirming from article researched by its . See United States v. K.E. Knight Company, 156 U.S. p. 1 et seq.

if , Company decision is any guide. Honorable
wable Attorney office in response to his
Failure to melodramatize the financial plight of
Corporation trustee-plaintiff
-representative, whom his predicted in reprobate test
any at that time would end up getting of
their money back.

Woe unto anyone who are not maximally weepy over
the trial counsel and honorable flight of
Corporation trustee repres
entative known-perjury where its funds were improperly
mingled without TripAdvisor oversight however, the
the brokerage firm Agency-Inc. and
Honorable pension unfunded liability chaotic

Final determination before they filed for bankruptcy.

One who understands this is Kalb v. Feuerstein, 308 U.S. at 440-444, 60 S.Ct. at 346-348, 84 L.Ed. 370.

Plaintiff trustee representative trouper of Corporation on spec against has kept her all-but-defunct brokerage firm front and center for the Attorney and District Courts of judicialians within Honorable care-fully highballed estimate that his and/or their "pension missing" unfunded liability sedentary account in Bank funds amount to a Insider Trading crime? id.

Defendant by and through undersigned (Rule 32) coun-ask the Court and/or Attorney :office to nullify all Plaintiff actions taken under the reprobate emergency manager law since its passage, including having *TripAdvi-ser to be a standard functionary in County Court and Assessor's office oeuvre to av-ent interstate compact trustee representative-emergency manager insider trading. See* Was all DOE Grants, paid for by branch? Certificate of Services

State Land Commissioner

* United States Vs. Northern Securities Co., 193 U.S. at 360
 " " Vs. Standard Oil, 221 U.S. at 1;
 " " Vs. Tobacco, 221 U.S. at 106;

Paul Volcker vs. United States, (citation omitted); and Invest-
 ors Business Daily, Monday, August 20, 2012 Page A7 "Review
 Websites Strive To Eliminate Phoney Reviews"

Nota Bene Per (Rule 32) Counsel

Was both Plaintiff's trustee representative parcel claim
 by County and/or County Assessor's
 officers to be belighted in accordance with Arizona's
 eminent domain law?

It is like First Title Agency Inc. restore the belighted
 residence from its Plaintiff Bank "sub-
 sidy job," which paid the premium for its trustee represent-
 ative lands when Plaintiff's emergency-manager buys sales
 tax of the Corporation from trustee
 representative's who's aunt lives in ?
 and Honorable For your admissions.

Defendant, Pro se