

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-058

---

Judge:	No. 1106511348A
Complainant:	No. 1106511348B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge had violated the judge's oath of office and his constitutional rights by dismissing his case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 26, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 26, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL  
State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

8014 058

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

FILED A COMPLAINT AGAINST ELECTRIC POWER REQUESTING A JURY  
TRIAL ON . THEN ON MOTIONED THE COURT  
TO ABSTAIN SPECIFYING TRIAL BY JURY REQUIRED. THIS WAS IGNORED BY  
JUDGE IN A HEARING ON WITHOUT A JURY, ON  
, SENT ALL PARTIES A NOTICE OF DISTRESS OF BOND WHICH  
LISTED 139 CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS AGAINST RIGHTS.  
JUDGE DISMISSED CASE ON OF

BEEEN DEFRAUDED BY THE COURT IN VIOLATION OF HIS OATH OF  
OFFICE TO SUPPORT THE ARIZONA AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONS ACTING CRIMINALLY  
IN MISPRISION, VIOLATING THE SEPARATION OF POWERS, AND AIDING AND  
ABETTING ELECTIC POWER EXECUTIVES TO VIOLATE AZ. TITLE 47  
UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE REPLY TO CONSUMERS RULES OF COMMERCE.

JUDGE DOES NOT HAVE AUTHORITY/JURISDICTION TO  
THE CONSTITUTIONS, OR RIGHTS, I.E. AZ CONST. ARTICE 2, SECTION 33  
"THE ENUMERATION IN THIS CONSTITUTION OF CERTAIN RIGHTS SHALL NOT BE  
CONSTRUED TO DENY OTHERS RETAINED BY THE PEOPLE." AND SECTION 23.  
"THE RIGHT OF TRIAL BY JURY SHALL REMAIN INVIOLETE."