

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-061

---

Judge:	No. 109781093714609A
Complainant:	No. 109781093714609B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner made improper statements in a minute entry order, that a superior court judge had either ignored or failed to rule on her motions, and that both the commissioner and judge were biased against her.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officers engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have the jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 26, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judicial officers on March 26, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2014 06 1

Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W Washington St  
Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE:

To Whom It May Concern:

Please find attached and enclosed herein a copy of the Minute Entry produced by \_\_\_\_\_ filed \_\_\_\_\_ in the above-listed case. The entire document is being included with specific, spurious sections highlighted. I, \_\_\_\_\_ am the Plaintiff in said case.

On Page 1, \_\_\_\_\_ calls this case "long and tortured". This is not a legal opinion but a personal one. An opinion that has no place in a public, legal document. However, the worst of \_\_\_\_\_ opinion is displayed on Page 4 wherein he states:

"Plaintiff's lack of experience in the legal system has caused considerable delays in her case, which arguably offset any money she saved by not hiring an attorney to assist her. Plaintiff may wish to consult with an attorney if she has any questions about how to proceed."

First, this case has been fraught with defamatory comments and statements about myself from the Defendants. I have submitted several motions regarding sanctions against the Defendants which the Court, \_\_\_\_\_ has ignored and failed to rule on, thus, prolonging this case unnecessarily. Now, \_\_\_\_\_ is casting aspersions on me without even knowing me. His conduct is bias, prejudicial, unwarranted and unprofessional. And, he has published his opinions with the full knowledge and consent of \_\_\_\_\_, also highlighted on Page 3.

I cannot get a fair hearing with the likes of these persons running the court. I have already initiated a defamation suit against one of the Defendants, \_\_\_\_\_, since \_\_\_\_\_ has ignored my motions and evidence regarding this heinous libel and slander. \_\_\_\_\_ even allowed \_\_\_\_\_ to state these slanderous remarks in open court over my objections.

Please censure these individuals and possibly "retrain" them. Until that time, I will steer clear of their courts.

Yours truly,