

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-077

Judge:	No. 1062913868A
Complainant:	No. 1062913868B

ORDER

The complainant appeared to allege that he was falsely charged with the possession of narcotics a number of years ago.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the pro tem superior court judge he named engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of any court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 9, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 9, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Sequence of procedure's pertaining to
 initial criminal case number
 and competency decree number
 examination sealed. Notice of appearance
 and favorable determination document
 filed per Superior Court.
 via Superior Court order, appearance
 of noted to be of

The reason of initial arrest was a complaint
 from convenience store manager. The comp-
 laint being an alleged theft from the store
 of question, namely a egg salad sandwich
 prepackaged with a roast beef sandwich.

Later I was sitting on bench near
 a bus stop signpost, eating a egg salad
 sandwich when without notice of
 police, a Tempe city police woman
 slapped a handcuff on my right
 wrist while my hand was resting
 on the bench. Startled I arose quickly,
 bumped into the officer with the
 sandwich fixings flying, some on myself.

Some on the police woman handcuffed to me. Thus or therefore creating an improper procedure of arrest causing an injury to my left forearm from the assault of yet another policeman, whom the matter concerned, as he was assisted from some others who removed the handcuff attached to the police woman and then attached the handcuff to my left wrist, falsely accusing my person of resisting arrest. There was no assault of the police woman as there was no contact other than the handcuffs,

later it was shown to me a police report of narcotics possession. I had the sample of said narcotic being cocaine taken to the Department of Public Safety where a laboratory chemist found the sample not to be a controlled substance and was assumed to be powdered creamer and sugar from coffee condiment packages. The charge of petty theft and or shoplifting was never addressed.