

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-110

Judge:	No. 1108114938A
Complainant:	No. 1108114938B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge had violated his right to visit his children, was hostile to and prejudiced against him, and had allowed opposing counsel to make arguments without any factual support.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 21, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 21, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-110

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

State of Arizona

Commissions on Judicial Conduct

I would like to file a complaint against Judge [redacted] It is my belief that Judge [redacted] is violating my father's rights to visit my children. On [redacted] Judge [redacted] body language was clearly noted by Ms. [redacted] and myself that she was clearly making a bias opinion of myself when the opposing counsel made comments that were untrue and understood by Judge [redacted] Rule 2.3 (d2) She called [redacted] Police Officers to the court room as I was stating my case; the Judge quieted me down, allowing the opposing counsel to proceed with no facts to help the opposing counsel's position.

This case has become an example that Arizona laws can be altered by opinion and not law, as there has been no domestic violence, abuse or mental issues except opinion that clearly will not be heard by Judge [redacted] as in the Conference Hearing with Judge [redacted] Tem [redacted] suggested that Dr. [redacted] be appointed because of the Plaintiffs behavior and unwillingness to agree with any custody issues.

Exhibit 1 indicates communication of the unwillingness to aid the father in supervised visitations and continues to make excuses of his choices in this matter, causing numerous police calls for evidence of interference of visitations, in which Judge [redacted] concluded that I cannot call the police any longer, but to call Dr [redacted] as Dr [redacted] is not in the position to enforce any legal violations of interference. Exhibit 2

On [redacted] Judge [redacted] under minded the agreement with Judge [redacted] as he indicated that Dr [redacted] would have the authority to change and increase fathers parenting time as well as remove supervised visitations as indicated by Dr. [redacted] evaluation.

As the court has only been involved as I requested Dr. [redacted] to evaluate the family and clearly indicates that there was no issue as clearly read that Dr. [redacted] suggested a therapeutic interventionist for custody when Judge [redacted] changed Judge [redacted] position as agreed upon in the divorce, making it more difficult for visitations.

Throughout this case, my previous counsel with Dr. [redacted] agreed to a new list of supervisors, as we complied with and submitted to Dr. [redacted] in which the opposing counsel continues to ignore. On [redacted], during the conference with Judge [redacted] I continued to make a point that with missed visitations and police reports that the opposing counsel continues to ignore caused me to file a contempt for missed visitations.

After another missed visitation, the opposing counsel filed an emergency hearing and submitted evidence with without police reports to those allegations. Let me remind that not only have I served as

a soldier, have not been arrested and all indications that there is a position of any opinion that there is a behavioral issue, Dr. clearly states that there is no clear evidence of this.

As Dr in a conference call clearly advised the plaintiff that the father is in no danger to his children witness by Mrs

Judge denies my requested supervisor, Ms. a roommate that rents a room in my home, as I work in and only visit on weekends. She is clearly a good choice, as she is a teacher, as indicated in Judge Order and Acknowledgement for Monitored Parenting Time indicates that the Father can utilize a teacher as an example, and when suggested Mrs. a teacher Judge denies with prejudice and prior to Judge decision I filed a Motion to Clarify in which was not answered by Judge Not only is Judge decision to make it difficult for my visitations with my children but as indicated in the Guidelines for Custody not being used.

In conclusion, the United States Constitution guarantees an unbiased Judge who will always provide litigants with full protection of All Rights. Therefore, the law says that as a Judge in Family Court, it is the duty of the Judge to do the work of a Family Court and only that. The law does not give any Judge of the Family Court the authority to deliberately ruin individuals financially and emotionally and it does not give authority to any person in this case to repeatedly use the Court for revenge. The Judge has caused emotional harm to my children as they have always been in my life as a very involved father, this decision is causing my ex now to seek counseling for my children after the Judges' decision, making it difficult to see my children, as they can't understand why they can't see their father, as there has only been an ex-spouse that was indicated in Dr. report to keep the children from their father.

I've enclosed several documentations that indicated the Judge continues to ignored evidence in this case. Judge even to the point allowed false evidence in a position statement from as the letter indicates concerning the school false, Judge even suggested that Dr evaluation may or may not be used as evidence in future cases concerning visitations, in which under minds Judge suggestion.