

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-112

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace failed to ensure that he received copies of the court's rulings and was biased.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 17, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 17, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re:

Case Number

Judge

Dear Sir or Madam:

1. This letter is a complaint I wish to file against the above  
 Judge \_\_\_\_\_, pursuant to "Canon 3. A Judge Shall  
 Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently."
2. From the commencement of this case I knew I was in trouble, because  
 after the Plaintiff filed its Complaint, I filed a \_\_\_\_\_, which I  
 was claiming that there was no \_\_\_\_\_, that the Plaintiff failed to comply  
 with \_\_\_\_\_, and other issues. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ never made a  
 decision as to this important Motion, I never received a copy of the decision  
 nor did the Plaintiff provide a copy.
3. Then almost immediately the Plaintiff filed its  
 \_\_\_\_\_ and I filed my \_\_\_\_\_ stating similar issues I mentioned in my  
 \_\_\_\_\_, then Plaintiff filed its \_\_\_\_\_, I guess a decision was  
 made, and again I did not received a copy of the decision, and I blindly filed  
 a \_\_\_\_\_ and Plaintiff filed its \_\_\_\_\_ then I filed  
 my \_\_\_\_\_, and again, a decision was made, but I did not receive a copy of  
 the decision.
4. Recently I received a \_\_\_\_\_ and it was then that I learned a  
 Judgment was \_\_\_\_\_, but I never received a copy, so again, I  
 filed my \_\_\_\_\_ blindly not knowing the date of the signed  
 Judgment as required, the rules are clear on that, Plaintiff filed its  
 and I filed my \_\_\_\_\_.

5. Finally, for the first time I received a decision from the Court, it was a informing me that my was untimely, as I mentioned before, I did not receive a copy of

6. Either Judge did not read my and learned above my concerns about being informed of decisions, or did read my , but did not care to address them.

7. The Plaintiff did not provide me with any either.

8. I strongly feel that because of my status, Judge was grossly bias against me, pursuant to E. Disqualification, (1)(a) "the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding."

9. A judge as stated in Item (8), "A judge shall dispose of all judicial matters promptly, efficiently and fairly." Judge did not comply with this Item either.

10. It was clear that Judge , sabotaged this case against me in favor of the Plaintiff, violating my Civil Rights to fair and impartial treatment.

I will make myself available for any questions you folks may have, thank you for your attention to this matter of great importance.

Sincerely,