State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-145

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was rude, exceeded judicial authority, and was biased.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 16, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 16, 2014

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-145

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Nam

'udge's Nar

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 West Washington Street Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re:

Dear Judicial Committee:

My name isI am attaching this letter to my 'formal' complaint form andincorporating it into/as my official complaint against
Court Judge at theCountyCourt Facility located atCounty

As you will 'clearly' see upon your FULL review of "everything" that I am stating and/or sending to you, Judge beyond any reasonable doubt whatsoever, has blatantly abused the power bestowed upon her by law abiding citizens of Arizona (i.e., myself); Judge has blatantly violated "MY" civil/constitutional rights and Judge

has blatantly violated virtually every Cannon and every Rule contained in the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct; all in her preconceived biased and prejudicial actions and conduct against this complainant; and as the result thereof, Judge has severely and irreparably destroyed the integrity and character of this complainant, who has worked her "fingers to the bone" to achieve! This complainant is praying that Judge be sanctioned to the absolute fullest extent possible for her vicious and absolutely baseless actions taken against this complainant. Judge is solely responsible for also destroying this complainant's belief and faith in our "justice" system and she must NEVER be allowed to destroy another human being even "half" as bad as she has destroyed this complainant. Judge IS NOT "God" and this Commission cannot allow her to unilaterally continue to put herself in that position on a daily basis! The Preamble of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, states, in part verbatim:

"An independent, fair, and impartial judiciary is indispensable To our system of justice. The United States legal system is Based upon the principle that an independent, impartial, and Competent judiciary, composed of men and women of integrity, Will interpret and apply the law that governs our society. Thus, The judiciary plays a central role in preserving the principles of Justice and the rule of law. Inherent in all the rules contained in This code are the precepts that judges, individually and Collectively, **must respect and honor** the judicial office as a Public trust and strive to **maintain and enhance confidence in The legal system.**

The judiciary does not exist in isolation. It is an institution of particular societies. Judges require the respect and faith of the communities they serve to be effective. Public confidence is critical to the administration of justice. In a democracy, the enforcement of judicial decrees and orders ultimately depends upon the public cooperation. The level of cooperation, in turn depends upon a widely held perception that judges decide cases impartially! Should the citizenry conclude, **even erroneously**, that cases were decided on the basis of **favoritism** or **prejudice**, rather than according to law and fact, then 'new' regiments would be necessary to enforce judgments. The public at large benefits from having an understanding of the rigorous ethical standards to which a judge is held. "Justice must not only be done but be seen to be done" IS the fact that judges must not only be ethical but must be seen to be ethical. **Making judges accountable for their conduct is another vital aspect of maintaining public respect for and trust of judges!** This complainant has always held a high standard of respect for judges.....until now!

Although this ("my") complaint arises out of case number

you will see on the audio/video tape, how Judge "rudely" told me, that I am not a "party" to this matter; yet you will also see that I **clearly** do have a legal interest in it. (See audio/video recording of the Evidentiary Hearing on Temporary Orders, held on

beginning at time stamp Also, you will hear Judge tell the on the telephone (although NO Motion to Appear Telephonically was filed and provided to the parties as required by statute, court rules AND Judge **OWN Minute Entry** Order) that "she has read the report" and then further state "based on your report, you make the following recommendations?"....then Judge proceeds to read each baseless "recommendation" made by the without ever once asking this woman her "BASIS" for said recommendations! IF Judge truly read this report, as she so stated very how a "concern" with absolutely clearly that she had, HOW could she possibly NOT ask this NO explanation as to "who or where" said "concern" had come from?? ... AND, what "factual" basis was there for this subsequent recommendations, specifically regarding the maternal OWN Minute Entry Order, etc. grandmother; when ALL applicable rules, statutes,

ALL clearly state that this woman's recommendations to the Court ARE TO include her bases for said recommendations?? IF truly "read" this woman's "report" as she indicated she had, she clearly would have seen NO factual ANYTHING, other than a "concern" was used by this woman in making her recommendations to the court!

The case involves a custody issue between of my I am also enclosing herein, a copy of my "Notice to the Court and my Statements in Support Thereof" that I submitted and hand-delivered to Judge on and that I am further incorporating into/as my official complaint in this matter. My "Notice" will explain, in greater detail, the facts of my complaint which are "all" verifiable in the audio/video recordings of the three specific court dates presided over by Judge and also the various minute entries and/or reports issued in the above referenced matter.

I am currently a graduate student at and also raising other whom I have had for over the I had major, emergency surgery just over one year ago and have been unable to work. My income is extremely limited, which is the reason it took me several months to save the money I had to pay to obtain copies of the audio/video CD's in this matter; tapes that **clearly** show Judge blatant abuse of power and her completely baseless prejudice and biasness against, specifically this complainant! These tapes further clearly evidence blatant prejudice against the Respondent/Mother AND clearly show her "favoritism" of the Petitioner/Father and the paternal family in the referenced matter.

I am more than willing to hand-deliver my copies of these three specific audio/video CD's to the office of the Arizona Judicial Commission upon request. Although the minute entries entered in the referred to case can be obtained from the Court file, I am also more than willing to hand-deliver them to the Commission as well. For reasons unknown to this complainant, Judge "under advisement ruling" from the trial in the above matter, is not accessible on the Court website. Hence, I am also enclosing a copy of said "under advisement ruling" minute entry as well as the first "report to the court" dated

Please know that although this complaint is being submitted solely by myself regarding Judge clear and evident (as this Commission will clearly see on the audio/video CD's) blatant prejudice and biasness against "ME," I wholeheartedly believe that for this Commission to "see and understand" the total "picture" of the blatant abuses of power by Judge the totality of her baseless prejudices and biases MUST be included herein.

(Please note that I submitted a copy of my "Notice and Statements" to Judge prior to the Evidentiary Hearing with my sincerest hopes that she would 'see' the damage and pain that her biased and prejudicial actions had already caused to me [and most importantly to my and that 'maybe,' just 'maybe' she would "do THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.