## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

|              | Disposition of Complaint 14-158 |
|--------------|---------------------------------|
| Judge:       |                                 |
| Complainant: |                                 |
|              |                                 |

## **ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge abused discretionary authority, improperly omitted information, engaged in harassment, violated criminal procedure rules, and failed to notice a hearing.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Also, the commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 16, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 16, 2014 CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-158

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

| Name:  | Judge's Name   |
|--|--|
| what you believe the judge did t<br>times, and places that will help | plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words hat constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and your records. |
| Please see attached co   | mplaint letter with attached documentation.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | M.   |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | /201   |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | <b>V</b> /   |
|  | 1/1  |
|  |  |
| $\sim$   | 9  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

| 1              | Dated:  |
|----------------|---|
| 2              |   |
| 3              | To: State of Arizona Commission   |
| 4              | on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  |
| 5              | Phoenix, Arizona 85007  |
| 6              | From:   |
| 7              |   |
| 8              | RE: Request to file a Judicial complaint against Judge for unethical conduct by a Judge   |
| 9              | including abusing her discretionary authority, omitting information from court documents, harassment, violation rule of criminal procedures, coercion, failing to notify the petitioner of a hearing, disability,   |
| .1             | I am filing a Judicial Misconduct complaint against Arizona Court Judge for the following unethical, unprofessional behavior including violations of violations of Arizona Rules of Procedure and any other state, county city, or Federal laws, policy or regulations concerning Judicial conduct.   |
| 13             | On was placed on suicide watch at the County Jail following a hearing he had with Judge and his attorney. Later in the evening called us and asked us what happen what was going on he said he did not understand the hearing.  |
| L6<br>L7       | We could tell his anxiety level was going us and phoned the jail and asked if medical could check on him.  We did not hear from for a day and a half. So we called the jail on to check on and we were told he was in the medical ward on suicide watch. Fortunately the jail allowed me to have a visit with him on and on he was placed back in his cell. |
| 19             | When was initially arrested on the public defenders. We knew his prior medical conditions and we called the courts, we filed a request for Rule 11 with the court, we sent a request to the jail asking for a mental health evaluation for we sent a latter to the judge asking for a mental health evaluations and a public defender                       |
| 21             | Everything we sent the court on behalf of was ignored and during that two week period the police kee interviewing with out the benefits of legal counsel. No matter that we were asking for any attorney for his and he was asking for an attorney.   |
| 23             | We called the Legal Defender and then the Public Defender and they keep telling us to call back the next day for two weeks they were telling us that. This is and during his first two weeks in jail he had no attorney so we filed petitions for medical evaluation after no one would respond to our letters.   |
| 25<br>26<br>27 | We have attempted to request documents from the court for the initial date of arrest up until today's date by making the request under Arizona rule 123 and it to has been ignored by the court. Rule 123 stated that any Arizona citized with a ID can request information on criminal cases including pre trail materials.                                |

of the defendants. She is clearly biased and

unprofessional in her conduct and she has gone off the subject of the order several times with these insults and

references directed at the

26

27

28

13) This is a another example of this judge's open distain for the

| 1 2              | 29) In Judge orders from the she claimed that she got the petitions request for a mental health evaluation till the . That's a lie a copy of the letter we had stamped at the court and a copy of the special action request we had stamped at the justice court should have been in that file.  |
|------------------|--|
| 3                | 30) So one of the Judge or all of them are lying about not receiving that the request or they failed to revive the case file that was transferred from Court to the Supervisor court before they ruled on the special request.   |
| 5                | 31) If the judge individually failed to review a documents in the case file that they were proceeding over than they have a disability and are unfit to judge.   |
| 6                | 32) Or they the Judge knew about the documents in the case file and made a prejudgment that it was not worth there time to look at. That makes them biased and bully's, you can already see from Judge continued badgering of the parents about not being licensed attorney, it clear Judge has a biased opinion of non attorney's filing anything in her court.   |
| 9                | I am attaching the Judges orders from the hearing including documents to show that our attempts to get help for was ignored from the beginning. The system seems to be out of control.   |
| 10               | Judges don't appear to be able to separate their need to protect each other from their legal obligation to uphold the law in an unbiased manner. We filed emergency medical request for help for   |
| 11               | It was ignored by Judge that make this a pattern of discrimination, unethical behavior, omitting information in orders and bully and retaliation by Judge How is this permitted to go unchecked.   |
| 13               | If a Judge can instruct her staff to remove all records of a medical petition filed by the parents and destroy them. If she can do that than what's to stop her from ignoring falsified evidence presented to her. This Judge even chose to mention portion of filed complaints against other judges in her orders. This Judge is clearly biased and unhappy that she has to preside or this case where the of the defendants have filed complaints and petitions. |
| 15<br>16<br>17   | This Judge chose to give her one sided obscured opinion of pending civil cases the parents have coming up in the Court which could effect the out come of those cases. Judge has already shown that she has the knowledge to craft orders in demeaning and hostile manner and to present them in the most unfavorable manner against any petitioner she doesn't agree with.  |
| 18<br>19         | People some times have to file as pro se litigant for a variety of different reasons ours was money. But what ever the reason's maybe she doesn't have the right to publicly humiliate us for not being lawyers. This judge in her bully when to the bar to verify if we were attorneys. She is like a high school sitting on the bench.   |
| 20<br>21         | Legal Reference "failure to observe procedures adequate to protect a defendant's right not to be tried or convicted while incompetent deprives him of his due process right to a fair trial." This Judge told the court to remove and destroy the petition for mental evaluation that seems a little extreme unless you are hiding something.  |
| 22               | Model Rule 8.3(a) "imposes a mandatory reporting obligation on every lawyer with respect to other lawyers' violations of the professional rules. Perjury is the act of lying or making verifiably false statements on a material matter under oath in a court of law or in a sworn written statement.  |
| 2 <b>4</b><br>25 | It seems like it should not be enough for a judge to say nothing was presented to me. We already know that's a lie with the special request for a medical evaluation. The information is there you just have to look at it with a unbiased and unprejudiced manner.  |
| 26<br>27         | 3 Rule 2.10(A) provides that "A judge shall not make any public statement that might reasonably be expected to affect the outcome or impair the fairness of a matter pending or impending in any court or make any nonpublic statement that might substantially interfere with a fair trial or hearing."   |
| 28               | Legal Reference: "Judge who receive information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge or attorney has committed a violation of this Code should take appropriate action".   |
|                  |  |

1 held a hearing on a emergency medical evaluation almost 30 days after it was filed and did not even Judge invite the petitioners. In fact she made fun of them repeatedly in open court and instructed the court to destroy the 2 petition. The State of Arizona had and has a continuing duty to protect it citizens from actions that are being committed by Judge and the State is failing to correct or intervene in any situation presented to them. 3 This Judge read in open court a portion of a filed request complaining of possible misconduct of fellow staff 4 members including the DA who was sitting right in front of her. The Judge made it clear by her actions who's side her was on and her feeling on the matter while simultaneously humiliating, embarrassing and displaying 5 unprofessional conduct by a Judge. 6 still needs medical attention / help and we have been calling and stopping by the jail requesting services. We have asked our doctor to fax his medical records over. We have ask if we can bring even over the counter 7 medical to him. This Judge had a duty to at least hear the medical portion of or special action with an open mind and she could not even do that. 8 It is very clear that if these judge don't want you to have access to something including medical mental health you 9 want get it and the record of your request will be destroyed. I hope that the commission will take this complaint more serious than the judge and respond swiftly so that change may come in 10 11 12 13 Submitted On 14 15 Complainant 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

BY