### State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

|              | Disposition of Complaint 14-160 |
|--------------|---------------------------------|
| Judge:       |                                 |
| Complainant: |                                 |
|              |                                 |

#### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner was biased.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 16, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on July 16, 2014 CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-160

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

| Name:                  | Judge's Name:   |
|------------------------|---|
| Instructions: Use th   | is form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words     |
| what you believe the   | judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the |
| names, dates, times, a | nd places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may      |
| be attached along with | copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper   |
|                        | of the complaint for your records.  |

# Judicial Complaint: Judge

From:

To: Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct.

Subject: Judicial Complaint, Rule 2.2(1), Rule 2.3 (A) and (B).

Date:

On , I attended a hearing in the County Court ( concerning a name change for The purpose of the hearing was to have ast name changed from to my last name of Ms. the mother of did not place my name on the birth records at the hospital after birth. Ms. did this out of spite, anger and hostility and felt it was in her best interest to "rid" of his father completely. Therefore, my biological does not carry my family name since birth heritage, family name years ago. and historical roots have been taken away by this uncalled for act by his mother.

During the court hearing conducted by Judge , he appeared demeaning and did not listen already had a predetermined course of action planned before the to my concerns. It was if Judge case was even argued. In fact, Judge never explained his ruling or his decision making process in law. Clearly, Judge went against my cultural values by refusing to change last name as requested in the petition and violated my parental rights. Judge did not respect my family values, "family" name be changed to traditions and expectation of having my biological American tradition was denied to in the name of "women's rights" but failed to respect my rights in the legal process. Judge took away family name steeped in the tradition of American culture, folklore and social expectations found in our founding history going back "at least" to the Declaration of Independence.

I request the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct complete an accurate and timely review on this matter. Men's rights in family matters need to be respected, promoted and fully enforced in a court of law without exception.

Respectfully submitted,