

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-166

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that two justices of the peace failed to follow the law and improperly ruled.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Also, the commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 16, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed  
to the complainant and the judges  
on July 16, 2014

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington St, Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Case No

There are two issues at hand that I would like to request an investigation to expose the corruption of the Courts in Arizona. The circumstances that support my inquiry are as follows:

First issue:

I have requested numerous times that a filing fee be waived concerning the above referenced matter and have **NOT** received a decision back to the contrary. The Court just abandoned the appeal as an easy way out, with no further avenues of redress for further appeals. Its as though the Court is supporting the wrongful actions displayed by the Court Judge

All documents were submitted including the financial affidavit. I have responded to the request to waive the fees in a timely manner and the merits of the case be heard. It was ignored by the Court.

Judge disregarded the law, and allowed into evidence certain documents over my objection. The only issue that should have been addressed at the hearing is the manner of which notice was served. The court in an earlier decision vacated the case as being concerned that a notice was not given. However, Judge overstepped her authority and trampled on the law to revive the case. She should be disbarred and removed from the bench for her actions..

It appears that the filing fee should not be an issue but justice should override money. I am on and have barely enough to survive feeding and housing I specified to the court which I followed up with a financial affidavit that I could not afford the fees associated in this matter.

-2-

2<sup>nd</sup> Issue

My Case No. has been charged with driving someone else's vehicle with no proof of insurance and registration. The law specifically states that the owner is responsible to maintain insurance and registration. Judge chose to ignore the law and charge her with this violation. Documents are enclosed to show her argument. We further went to the insurance company and obtained the proof of insurance of Ms. vehicle and judge chose to ignore this and still holding my responsible. We tried to obtain the registration from the but due to privacy was unable to do so.

I further believe why should we pay a fee on such an obvious violation of law demonstrated by the trial court judges. The judges should be held accountable and not allowed to violate the law. **What about the judges flagrantly violating the law and exceeded their authority.** There appears to be two sets of laws, one for the ordinary citizen and one for the judge/law enforcement?

Accordingly, I request that an investigation be conducted into this matter in hopes that your office will enforce the law that the court Judge and the Court Judge violated the law and reverse their decision or at best disciplined them for there actions. Their attitudes and demeanor were that of unbecoming of judges. They are supposed to be fair and impartial. They were definitely not that. Your cooperation will be greatly appreciated in this matter.

Sincerely: