

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-190

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner ruled improperly, was biased, and had a conflict of interest.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Also, the commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 30, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the commissioner
on July 30, 2014

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2014-190

Confidential
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street #229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re:

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please be advised that respondent is requesting this Commission to request to
recuse herself from Respondent's case under file number because of her continuous avoidance
of the facts of Respondent's financial means and bias and conflict of interest.

Please be advised: This letter should be accepted as a formal complaint against
For more than a year, respondent has been forced by this court to produce
which he cannot afford. He believes rulings are unreasonable, unrealistic and oppressive. He
imposes this statement because he does not believe that any other court in America could look at his income and
determine that he can afford to pay anything near the amount which she is ordering him to pay. It is too
unrealistic because after asking him to divulge personal information about his financial capability, which should
have assisted or aided them in determining a "realistic" support payment, his information was obviously given
little or no consideration at all. The result speaks for itself. See attached :
offered as "Exhibit A". The last page of this exhibit is, in fact, an excerpt of the financial page of
Bankruptcy Petition filed under : to Judge recently filed on

All of rulings are oppressive and unconscionable because they have forced him to acquire
debts which he is currently unable to repay, personal friends and family who he has called upon for loans. He is
months behind in his rental payments and at the mercy of his landlord not to evict him, due to
excessive use of authority.

In an attempt to justify for himself whether he could do anything else to afford this totally improper and over-
reaching support order, he did recently apply for:

1. A Petition to Modify
2. "Enforcement Order"
3. A bankruptcy, to reorganize.

Page Two

Letter to Arizona Commission of Judicial Conduct

Respondent believes these are substantial efforts to do everything possible to get caught up on his support payment issues which he is sure that this Court is well aware of.

On _____, respondent received an IV-D Contempt _____ Arrest Warrant because he was unable to pay the full order of _____ by _____ on _____. This is beyond insane. He does not have these large amounts of money, either at the mouth of a court order, or any other order. _____ like him may not even earn _____ in a week sometimes. He is currently supporting a family of _____ with earnings of _____ per month. The question is, should he sacrifice one family in order to contribute to the support of two other children? Unlike the Court and _____, respondent believes in his heart and eyesight, they are both equal, and should share in the benefits of all that he can afford.

On _____, respondent borrowed _____ from his mother and managed to make a payment. This was followed up by a _____ payment a week later. In fact, _____ of a _____ Court Order was paid and for that reason respondent has earned this _____ warrant. Respondent wonders if this is _____ Court or _____ Court, and what is _____ about the judges rulings?

Unfortunately, he does not expect a lot of results from this correspondence or this committee, based on previous experiences, and of course, the way his case has been handled thus far. He is hopeful that this particular complaint will not be another call upon deaf ears and because he has very little confidence in the judicial process here in Arizona and therefore, he will, in addition to this complaint, solicit the assistance of the Dept. of Justice to examine the issues of his complaint to determine if there is an injustice that can be resolved in this "Judicial System of Arizona".

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to your speedy response.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Enc.: