

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-204

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge unreasonably delayed disqualifying herself from a case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 6, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 6, 2014

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-204

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

see attached

State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington St., STE 229
 Phoenix, AZ 85007

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Rule 1.2 Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary
 Rule 2.5. Competence, Diligence, and Cooperation

I bring this action for Judge [redacted] for clear and convincing incompetence. This complaint should not be taken in isolation. I am asking Commission on Judicial Conduct to conduct an ethical review of [redacted],

On [redacted] Judge [redacted] agreed to recuse himself and this case was sent back to the [redacted] Court. The [redacted] Court erred and sent this case to Judge [redacted]. In [redacted] exercised her one time recusal and disqualified [redacted] paralegal, informed the court by forwarding the motion. Judge [redacted] refused to honor it. [redacted] opposing counsel, filed some harassing and vexating Contempt of Court Charges against me, and Judge [redacted] set I believe [redacted] court date. [redacted] made multiple attempts to alert the court of the error. Judge [redacted] refused to budge. This made absolutely no sense. My attorney filed a Motion for Clarification on whether or not Judge [redacted] could hear this case. Finally on I believe [redacted] Judge [redacted] agreed she could not hear this case. Judge [redacted] incompetence and dilatory practice cost me approximately seven-week delay. The case was returned to the [redacted] Court for reassignment and the saga continued.

It is my understanding that Judge [redacted] did not run for re-election and plans to retire. This is still a valid complaint, RE: Works, since Judge [redacted] could run for re-election at some point in the future.

I am involved in a [redacted] case where time was of the essence. For opposing side, who continue to launch false allegations, this was gift wrapped present.

Judge [redacted] blamed me for engaging in unreasonable litigation. Negative this was never my fault and the Court is to blame for running up [redacted] counting.