

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-208

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace had violated his free speech rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 6, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 6, 2014

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2014-208

**Judicial Complaint Against
Judge
Court**

From: M.Ed., ABD
To: Arizona Commission on Judicial Ethics
Subject: Judicial Complaint/Ethics Violation on Judge
Date:

This judicial complaint focuses on Judge who has been filling in for Judge in the Court on my case since late last year.

This complaint focuses on two issues. My first issue focuses on Judge order that silenced my first amendment rights to contact my elected official, my County Attorney. During his election campaign in I had contacted his office and volunteered to work on his campaign. I also worked hard to get the word out about the need to replace as County Attorney due to his inability to protect all victims of domestic violence.

Shortly after taking office, fired all his prosecutors except for Deputy County Attorney tossed out several charges against my batterer, hid evidence detrimental to her case and prosecuted me based on a gender stereotype of male = batterer/female = victim model of domestic violence. Within days of taking office, had his Chief Deputy investigate my formal complaint against Three days later, wrote his findings against without addressing my major complaints. (See attached)

A few weeks later, newspaper and TV news reported that had ethics issues in his own right and was facing a BAR complaint that could revoke his law license. After these news reports were published I contacted the County Attorney's Office to request another internal investigation against the actions of The County Attorney's Office did into respond to my request for another investigation to ensure one was conducted fairly and professionally. Each one of the letters was "CC" to for her information. Just weeks ago, pleaded guilty to ethics violations and his law license was suspended for for his violation.

A week later after filing my request for a second, more rigorous investigation, I received a "Motion" that would prevent me from contacting the County Attorney's Office and my

elected County Attorney (to seek another investigation against actions. In retaliation for seeking another investigation, filed a Motion to amend my release conditions preventing me from contacting my County Attorney or the County Attorney's Office to file another complaint. As the Motion is written, if I contact my elected officer, to communicate my grievance, Ms. could take it upon herself to call this "inappropriate contact" and have me jailed for fighting for my personal rights through my elected official. Judge signed "Motion to Amend Release Conditions" and violated my First Amendment Rights to voice my opinion to my local elected political representative in the County Attorney's Office. Therefore, Judge violated **Rule 1.2, Rule 1.3, Rule 2.3, Rule 2.4(B)**

Secondly, in granting request to silence an investigation into her behavior, Judge did not explain the reasons why she ruled on the Motion to make an appeal possible. The Judge simply "checked" a granted box and did not give legal reasoning to support the "Motion". In reality, she had no legal justification for doing so. Judge simply covered for the actions of a bad prosecutor. Her immoral and unjust action stopped a second, more rigorous investigation to take place against within the County Attorney's Office. Therefore, Judge violated **Rule 2.6(A) Rule 2.15(B)(D) Rule 2.15(B)**

In conclusion, I would hope this committee would take action into this matter and fully investigate this complaint. As a researcher and writer into the actions of the County Court system I've found the judiciary to be the most corrupt network of seated judges in the state. Finally, I have mailed copies of this complaint to several news outlets and a and the Arizona System" to investigation and possibly run a news story into several issues surrounding the Court. is about the Court is ready for purchase on

Thank you,

CC:
Fox News
ABC 15 News
NBC News
CBS News