State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 14-223
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings, had a conflict of interest, and engaged in improper ex parte communications.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 13, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 13, 2014. CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-223

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:			Judge's Name:		
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.					
Upon discov	ering drugs in m	y home, and my	ex-wifes request that "if I ge	et back on drugs y	ou must do
everything in	n your power to g	et me off them, t	because I will go crazy and	by won't be pretty	", my ex-wife
			My wife works for		she had filed
an order of protection, which was subsequently thrown out by the court after she had been admitted					
to		who confirmed	d she was addicted. Judge	was	assigned
to the case and proceeded to rule in irrational, illegal and irresponsible ways.					
1) The Super	ior Court lost multip	le applications for	a hearing following Judg	je appoir	ntment.
2) Judge	violated contra	ictural law by statir	ng "whomever is living in the ho	ouse should pay for	the mortgage"
despite their l	peing an agreemer	t in place for Petiti	oner to pay her legal obligation	s at the rate of	per mont.
3) On	Judge	, in response to a	Motion for Hair Follice Testing sta	ted that "	is a good
friend of mine", and proceeded to rule against the motion without reading or considering it. Petitioners response					
was not served in a proper manner, nor was I given the opportunity to respond to petitioner's response. Furthermore,					
despite mak	ing this statemer	t, Judge	did not give me the opportu	unity to object to	continuation
as Judge f	for this case, a	s I would have	e done knowing how co	rrupt the legal	syatem is
4) Judge	failed to enforce	e Rule 49 mandat	ory disclosure, and then proceed	eded to rule on mul	tiple financial
decisions	without any pe	rtinent financi	al disclosure being mad	de to assist	
5) Judge	refused to	sanction Petition	er`s counsel for numerous e	thical violations in	ncluding
ER 3.3, 3.4,	3.1, 4.4 and mul	iple other violation	ons, instead advising me to	"take it to the stat	te bar".
6) Judge	allowed	of	to argue th	nat Rule 49 disclo	sure
was not m	andatory beca	use I had refu	used to respond to disco	overy requests	, despite no
discovery requests being made. My attempts to interceded were met with disdain and contempt.					

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-223

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:				
what you believe times, and place along with copies	the judge did that constitutes judicia s that will help the commission unde	ame size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words all misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, erstand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached uments. Please complete one side of the paper only, and			
7) Judge	final ruling included multiple sta	atements of facts that were not borne out by any			
evidence whats	oever, but ignored any evidence tha	at called into showed petitioners counsel were			
in fact lying					
8) Judge	engaged in ex-parte communications v	vith opposing counsel as documented in counsels billing			
statement.					
9) Judge	refused to read any motions from	Respondent, instead ruling without considering			
case law ar	nd the Arizona Ruiles of F	amily Procedure.			
10) Judge	awarded full attorneys fees to Petition	er, despite the fact this is a violation of ARS 25-324,			
which states tha	at the court must consider the finance	cial resources of each party, however as demonstrated			
in "Respondent	s Motion to Dismiss" and "Respond	lent's Objection To Attorney's Fees", Judge			
could not make	this decision as he failed to enforce	the mandatory disclosure laws of the Arizona			
Rules of Fa	mily Procedure.				
11) Judge	ruled that "Respondent Must Va	cate Marital Residence" despite the fact no request by			
opposing counsel	or Petitioner was made in a motion or t	trial, however an ex-parte request may have been made.			
12) At trial, Judg	ge allowed a fully armed fer	male sheriff to enter the courtroom and stare at me			
during	examination.				
13) A formal bar	complaint has been filed with the S	State Bar of Arizona who expressed surprise at			
refusa	al to hold multiple violations of the A	rizona Rules of Family Procedure and the			
Arizona Ru	les of Professional Condu	ict accountable			
I guess beir	ng a good friend of	does pay off doesn't it?			