

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-224

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made a number of erroneous evidentiary rulings in his case and was prosecution oriented.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of any of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 29, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 28, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-224

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Trial Judge Erred BY Allowing The State
To Introduce Evidence Of Admissions About
An Arson Accustation / Bad acts For an
Abuse Of Discretion. This Judge Also Allowed
A Fire Arm In Permanently Inoperable Con-
dition Into Evidence / Improperly Admitted
Hearsay Statesst Consistently Of Perjury.
As Well As Denied Me MY CONST RIGHTS TO A
BENCH TRIAL AND DUE PROCESS. JUDGE
Forced Me Into A Jury Trial and he is
Prosecution Orientated / What Ever The State
Says, He Rule In Favor Of The State. This Same
Judge Sentenced Me To An illegal Excessive
Sentenceing, Causing Double Jeopardy, De-
Priving Me Out Of MY life / liberty. I am, Am
Victimize and innocent. Judge Findings
Of Guilt Was Based on Theories, Speculation
AND Guesstwork Alone. With Bais / Prejudice.
Improperly Sentence, was
/ is Excessive and is it he is
UnFair and Has Very Poor Judge
For The Truth Doesn't Matter To Him, The
Type Of Judge The Law Look unlawfully
and Unjust. ALL TO CASE NO.