

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-227

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge had persistently failed to correct erroneous findings and conclusions in rulings on his petitions for post-conviction relief.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 4, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 4, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2014-227

CONFIDENTIAL

STATE OF ARIZONA  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington St Suite 229  
PHX, AZ 85007

1) NAME \_\_\_\_\_

2) address \_\_\_\_\_

3) Day/Night Phone N/A

4) Judge's name \_\_\_\_\_

Location \_\_\_\_\_

5) court \_\_\_\_\_

6) did you have a case before this Judge? Yes (a) Case

Number \_\_\_\_\_

(b) NAMES OF ATTORNEY'S who appeared

in the case: \_\_\_\_\_

(c) \_\_\_\_\_

List of names, address phone number of witness who  
observed the Judge's conduct: \_\_\_\_\_

Prosecutor for

the \_\_\_\_\_ county Prosecutor's Office Phone number unknown

7) I understand the commission does not have authority to  
reverse a court order or assign a Judge: Yes I understand

8) I affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing  
information and the allegations contained in the attached  
complaint are true.

2014-227

CONFIDENTIAL  
State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington St. Suite 229  
PHX, AZ 85007

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

I understand this complaint will not reverse the court's judgment. With this being said I would like to file a complaint of willful misconduct in office and willful and persistent failure to perform duties on the Arizona court judge county

JUDGE admits his ruling to be fabricated as he uses evidence on the facts and procedural history not supported by any evidence that happened during trial and let his judgment stand as fact, after conceding it was not petitioner wearing a bloodied t-shirt, judge used this non-supportive evidence to deny petitioner relief in a Rule 32, after I challenged his fabricated evidence he used to deny relief he conceded with my petition but let the order denying relief to stand [see ruling dated [FOOTNOTE] and rests his decision on a knowingly clear erroneous finding after re-searching the record and found it had contained no evidence to support his decision.

Judge continues to fabricate evidence

was multiple Police reports and 911 calls [Pg 3 of rul.  
But at trial Prosecutor MR. Expresses to the court  
"He never seen any Police reports." [See attachment]

First, I received the ruling, in turn, I answered  
with a reply, not being educated in the law or court  
procedures I wrote out a review informing the court that its  
Facts and Procedural History was erroneous and that the  
Trial court fabricated its ruling. Judge replies in a  
response admitting he made a mistake  
but allows the ruling to stand, in a benefit of a doubt and  
with the urging of the Arizona State Para-legal worker  
provided by D.O.C. I submitted a Successive Rule 32 to  
allow Judge a chance to correct his mistakes and  
evidence by the record with the Trial Transcripts [See Attachu  
Titled Successive Rule 32]

Although, Judge admits to my claims of  
his first ruling to be fabricated to be correct Judge  
denies the successive Rule 32 relying on the same fabricated fact  
from his first judgement now showing the pattern of willful  
misconduct and persistent failure to perform duties. I would  
like to introduce the statement by Prosecutor as  
evidence to the fabrication by Judge of multiple Police  
reports and 911 calls that contradicts the judge's ruling when

Apparently backed up by multiple Police reports and 911 calls, Prosecutor Mr. [redacted] denies any knowledge of any Police reports or 911 calls contradicting the Judge's rulings. Judge [redacted] is persistent to fabricate his ruling by the power of his office that continues to deny the fundamental fairness guaranteed as right promised by the Federal constitution violating the code of judicial conduct that all judges are subject to follow. I have enclosed a copy of (1) [redacted] Ruling (2) Title "Footnote" (3) Successive rule 32 shows by record the fabricating facts of Judge [redacted] (4) statements of Prosecutor [redacted] contradicting the Judge's rulings of multiple Police reports and 911 calls. I attempted to resolve this matter with the successive rule 32 with evidence supported by facts by way of record Judge [redacted] with his persistent failure to perform his duties and willful misconduct insist to allow his ruling remain as fabricated with the strong power of his office.