

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-230

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner had improperly refused his requests to fire his court-appointed attorney and for a continuance to involve private counsel.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 4, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on September 4, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-230

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

UNDER RULE 2.15 AND 2.03 THE JUDGE WOULD NOT APPOINT NEW COUNSEL AND I'VE TRIED TO FIRE MY PUBLIC DEFENDER 3 TIMES, MY PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIED TO REMOVE HIMSELF FROM MY CASE JUDGE DENIED ALL MOTION'S.

A PRIVATE LAWYER WAS HIRED BY MY FAMILY TO TAKE CASE SHE WENT TO COURT TO APPOINT HER SELF AS COUNSEL UNDER 6.3(C) TO GET EXTENSION OF TRIAL DUE TO HER HAVING ANOTHER TRIAL SET & PREPARE DEFENSE THE JUDGE DENIED HER AGAINST 6TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS, ARTICLE 2 SECTION 24 CASE LAW STATE V'S ARAGON 221 ARIZ 88, 90 (COURT OF APPEALS 2009) JUDGE IS ONLY A

I'M FACING A CAPITAL FIRST DEGREE MURDER CHARGE I'VE ONLY BEEN HERE THEY WILL NOT GIVE ME EXTENSION TO PREPARE MY DEFENSE & FORCE -N- ME TO TRIAL I'VE ASK TO SUBMIT MOTION'S & EXPERT WITNESSES & WITNESS JUDGE DENIED THEM & MY REASON IS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL THE BALIFF'S, BOTH STATE THEY CAN'T BELIEVE WHAT HE IS DOING LOT'S MORE LOOK UP CASE.2