

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-245

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was violating his speedy trial rights and his right to be personally present in court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 17, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 17, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

From
By
and
For

In the Court of the State of Arizona
State of Arizona Judicial Ethics Advisory Commity

County Presiding Judge

State of Arizona (Plaintiff)

Case No. #

-VS-

Petition

for

(Habeas Corpus)

(Defendant)

2014-245

Comes now the Defendant, Respectfully
Petition(s) The Honorable Court, for Writ of Habeas
Corpus. As per Title 13-4122 of the Arizona Revised
Statute(s)

① Defendant has been Illegally and Unlawfully
Detained of His Liberty Sence
By Detention Facility,

② Defendant "Was" (lawfully) Detained on
However Due to multipal Violation(s) of Arizona Rules
of Criminal Procedure, Said Process has become (Void),
Defendant is entitled to be "Discharged" as of
As per (Discharge of Prisoner held on Process)

③ "Judge Has Violated Rule 8.2(A1) = Defendant
(Shall) be (tried) within From time of Arraignment
Excluding Delays or Continuances Occasioned By
or on behalf of Defendant. . . . And

Violations of Rules of Professional Conduct = 4.1(A-B), 8.2(A), 8.3(A-B), 8.4(A-C-D-E-F),

Violations of Code of Judicial conduct = Canon = 1(A), 2(A), 3(A)(B12345678), 3(C1235), Rule 91(E), 92(A1-3) 93.A123

II

- ④ Rule 8.5(A) = (Form of Motions) = Motions for Continuance may be Granted, Upon motion of either Party. All motions Must be in Writing and Justify Continuance.
- ⑤ Judge has (again) Violated the Rule 8.5(A) by Allowing (Oral Motions) for Continuance(s) "All" Court appearances
- ⑥ Judge has Repeatedly Violated Rule 5.8(A-4), 9.1, 14.2, 14.3(E), and 27.8(B-2) = Defendant's (Right to be Present), "Again" by Allowing "Oral Waiver" of Defendant's "Right" Nine out of Eleven Court Appearances (Waiver Must be Written).
- ⑦ Rule 8.6 (Violations of the Rule) = Defendant has not occasioned Any (Written motions) for Continuances or Legal Delays. "Rule 8.6" = upon motion By "Defendant" (Court "must" Dismiss Prosecution) As per AZ. Rules of Criminal Procedure
- ⑧ Defendant Respectfully Petitions Honorable Court To issue (Writ of Habeas Corpus) and Order Defendant's "Lawful Release" and that Release be Expedited (Title 13-4132). (Dismiss with PreJudice case*)

County Detention Facility, and Judge Has Held Defendant Illegally and Unlawfully for over Contumacious Misconduct by the Court, Obstructing The Administration of Justice by Prosecutorial Misconduct . . .

Right Index
Finger

Respectfully by my own hand.