

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-246

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was not properly supervising personnel under his judicial authority.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 17, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 17, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

## Commissioner of judicial conduct:

I know you're all for the most parts in cahoots together. And you have illegally arbitrarily created ~~another~~ an unspoken rating system among yourselves, and you prefer a - be sure that's why this economy sucks for the other people without jobs here in Az. at that how many jobs are police, sheriff, attorneys, judges, judicial in some form of the 2,00,000 jobs available - it looks a lot more like a

the and then doesn't it. - Reality -  
Well in County

Court of is what's in question of common 2.6, 2.3 harassment, but not by him by others under his judicial authority. The sheriff's office, and their not giving me access to court and needed materials to do interviews and such things. The person is in classifications, and just like if a judge would have stood up to everyone rather than being bullied - the feds would not be involved not and a of the

would not be in such control at this time. Not saying that some stuff that has done it do approve of, but to exsturb the people on t.v. with a criminaliz



P.20 I'm not against the <sup>size</sup>, but I must be  
not have the judge  
world

and  
ml defence. In Christian, but

→ all the people in jail to force a budget increase  
on people - the public - is gangster / mobster minded.  
To say I will simple let loose all these murders  
thieves and such endsuch in county jail. When that's  
truly only about 1 to 10% of all the prison population in  
the state. What he did was publically criminalize all  
the working class with primarily misdemeanor type charges  
like what I'm looking at now a for  
in prison. So now everyone's criminalized and with all  
these criminals - and - that's what's wrong with  
the state's economy. I have a right to speak and I  
have a right to due process and due process is investigations,  
research study access, law book access, and everything an attorney  
would have available to them as a proper defendant according to  
the US Constitution. But Maybe not in  
Countries such as

and what it looks like to me now  
states and countries all over the United States of America.

If you look at some history in some of your law student  
law school class and university's as a mandatory class,  
you'd see some parallels and algorithms in case law  
to Communism in the last ~~50 yrs~~ 30 yrs to ~~50~~ yrs  
Maybe a what not to do. Do you know what the physical  
act of the expression of being strangled was done to  
people in the <sup>war over</sup> and  
insistence upon dealing opinion to to lower the work  
product and value of silk per ~~it~~ or trade work amount. ~~to~~ block it up.