

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-255

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace was improperly engaged in outside business activities, was ruling in cases involving one of the those outside business activities, and engaged in misconduct in a civil case in which the complainant and his wife were defendants.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 13, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 13, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2014-255**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please see attached complaint for detailed listing of complaint.

Summary of complaint:

I recently had a civil case in \_\_\_\_\_ which Judge \_\_\_\_\_ presided over.  
The plaintiff's are a \_\_\_\_\_ . During the course  
of my hearing in \_\_\_\_\_ was very aggressive towards my wife  
and my self.

No matter what we told \_\_\_\_\_ about unlicensed companys needing license to  
operate in \_\_\_\_\_ discounted. The attorney in this case missed a  
scheduled hearing and lied about \_\_\_\_\_ appearance.

A \_\_\_\_\_ was called to the stand and questioned over the  
missed appearance and ex patre communications with the court. The \_\_\_\_\_ initial  
lied on the stand about the attorney appearance but \_\_\_\_\_ eventaly admitted that  
\_\_\_\_\_ called in after the judge made \_\_\_\_\_ decision.

A \_\_\_\_\_ was just exposed as lieing about an hearing apperance, a  
was just exposed as a lier in from of \_\_\_\_\_ . Whats does \_\_\_\_\_  
do \_\_\_\_\_ allows the attorney to tell \_\_\_\_\_ that all ill relevant.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2014-255**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The \_\_\_\_\_ told the judge there is a new concept in \_\_\_\_\_ that allows foreign  
company's to operate with a license and brings suits in \_\_\_\_\_  
allowed this \_\_\_\_\_ to change the filling status of \_\_\_\_\_ client without asking the  
in the middle of trail.

\_\_\_\_\_ requires foreign and domestic llc to register to do business in .  
\_\_\_\_\_ did not question that new concept in fact \_\_\_\_\_ discounted the law  
I told and based part of \_\_\_\_\_ ruling for the plaintiff's on the foreign concept.

\_\_\_\_\_ allowed a \_\_\_\_\_ to lie and commit  
perjury in count. \_\_\_\_\_ gave aide to individual committing perjury.  
\_\_\_\_\_ lied during this hearing. \_\_\_\_\_ claimed that the  
\_\_\_\_\_, did not file \_\_\_\_\_ motion to appear by phone.

\_\_\_\_\_ became very angry when \_\_\_\_\_ said that. That was a lie court paper  
work says the plaintiff's \_\_\_\_\_ filed by fax again. These are only some of the  
ethical and professional and criminal violations committed by \_\_\_\_\_ during  
this hearing. It was also recent discovered that \_\_\_\_\_ is the  
and \_\_\_\_\_ of a unlicensed contruction comapny in  
\_\_\_\_\_ has been hearing and ruling for his company in civil suits filed in  
Now I understand why \_\_\_\_\_ would not listen or apply any of the regulatory  
laws to this case, it is because \_\_\_\_\_ files claims and runs an unlicensed bussiness  
also, it is to \_\_\_\_\_ benefits to ignore \_\_\_\_\_ status for business.

**Re: Complaint against**

It was recently discovered that \_\_\_\_\_ runs a unlicensed collection agency in \_\_\_\_\_ and is currently or recently presided over civil cases in \_\_\_\_\_ involving his unlicensed construction company. \_\_\_\_\_ has allowed \_\_\_\_\_ of the court to engage in false swearing and perjury on the witness stand.

According to \_\_\_\_\_, this construction business is unlicensed / suspended since \_\_\_\_\_. The plaintiff's are unlicensed business bringing a civil suit in \_\_\_\_\_, which is against \_\_\_\_\_ and federal laws.

\_\_\_\_\_ and the plaintiff's \_\_\_\_\_ have a common interest in this case which is running illegal / unlicensed business in \_\_\_\_\_ and bring civil cases to court for those unlicensed business. \_\_\_\_\_ has ignored the rule of law and applied \_\_\_\_\_ own perception of the law to a case to \_\_\_\_\_ current and future benefit.

\_\_\_\_\_ had an ethical to rescued \_\_\_\_\_ from hearing any cases as well as the \_\_\_\_\_ case based on \_\_\_\_\_ past relationship with \_\_\_\_\_ and his current status as \_\_\_\_\_ of a company that is unlicensed and bring civil cases to court just like \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ had an ethical responsibility not to run or be associated with any business that is unlicensed. \_\_\_\_\_ had an ethical responsibility in \_\_\_\_\_ private business transactions as well as \_\_\_\_\_ professional transactions. \_\_\_\_\_ ethical responsibilities is with 24 hours a day not just Monday through Friday and 0800-500 pm.

I have attached several documents that will show \_\_\_\_\_ allowed  
\_\_\_\_\_ to lie in his courtroom. \_\_\_\_\_ even gave aid by lending  
credibility to obvious lies, failing to correct \_\_\_\_\_ that was caught in a lie  
by the \_\_\_\_\_ testimony. \_\_\_\_\_ even lied himself about the \_\_\_\_\_ conduct.

\_\_\_\_\_ should have reused \_\_\_\_\_ from the hearing of a unlicensed because  
runs an unlicensed business and it is unethical for \_\_\_\_\_ to hear the case because  
doing the same illegal conduct NCEP LL is accused of doing.

**"Obstruction by officials** like judges, prosecutors, and attorneys general violates codes of professional conduct. Such conduct may involve the acceptance of bribes, perjury, cover-ups, leaks to press, removing financial records, giving false testimony, hiding exculpatory evidence, and otherwise abusing prosecutorial discretion".

**"Giving false testimony** as a witness in a criminal proceeding is also called perjury. Witnesses subpoenaed to give testimony under oath have the right to remain silent. Those who provide alibis to protect a suspect or hide their own involvement in a crime may also be prosecuted".