

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-256

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge's staff did not properly handle exhibits in his case, resulting in a mistrial, and anticipated future prejudice to his defense.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 17, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 17, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____

Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

STAFF DID NOT HANDLE MY INFORMATION
AT MY TRIAL THAT WAS NOT TO GO BACK TO THE
AND THEY WERE ABLE TO SEE IT ALL OF THE PAPER WORK
AND EXHIBITS THEY WERE NOT TO SEE. BUT SHOWING TO
TALKING ABOUT HOW GOOD THEY WERE AT HANDLING THE
JUDGE'S COURT ACTION AND THAT PUT ME OUT THERE TO
WERE I HAVE TO HAVE A RETRIAL AND EVERYONE KNOWS
ALL INFORMATION ABOUT MY CASE THAT THEY DID NOT KNOW
THAT THEY SHOULD NOT KNOW FOR A FAIR TRIAL IN MY
BEHALF I BELIEVE I SHOULD HAVE A NEW COURT JUDGE
FOR MY TRIAL I BELIEVE.

Thank

I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THIS WITNESS ABOUT
ME THAT JUST SLANDER AGAINST ME HAS NOTHING TO DO
WITH MY CASE TO ABOUT SOMETHING KNOW I DIDN'T
DO SAYING TO CUT HIS SHIRT & CAME AT HIM NEVER DID TO
HE WAY NOT AT EITHER SCENE. AND IF THE JUDGE LET IT
IN THAT ONE MORE PROBLEM MAKING THINGS UP ON LIES.