State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-281			
Judge:			
Complainant:			

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge prejudged his case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of judge's ruling. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 15, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 15, 2014.

Commission On Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street Suite 229

Phoenix Arizona 85007

IN THE MATTER	OF:)	Complaint Numb	er
		Judicial Complaint	
Judge	and one his female)		
clerks V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Complaint Judge	used a pre judgmen	ntal pre-drafted decis	sion in Mr.
case and Mr. Background and Re Mr.	is asking for this not to reoc asoning has been suffering from perse		ica. This
persecution was star	ted when Mr. reporti	ng when he was rape	d by
with two cops prese	nt one that held a gun. The p	persecution of Mr.	has turned into
hundreds of altercat	ions where they would inject	Mr. with	so he
would not remembe	r. They have forced Mr.	to do multiple th	ings on camera that
he would never do v	willingly but under threats, gu	uns, and	cognitive
diluting they achiev	ed. This is a severe persecuti	on which when	
discovered Mr.	was an immigrant he brib	ed two attorney	ys to file false
documents in Mr.	file and made an app	lication for citizensh	ip disappear like he
never filed it.	has set up Mr.	on multiple posse	ession charges and

has even forced him to plead guilty to some of them.

ż

Along the way one of friend got in trouble with the law and they for one reason or another got Mr. to try to figure out a solution. Mr. though of going to the Prosecutor that wrote the response to the petition that friend wrote. This idea gave them an idea to start to approach judges in the state level. Mr. was used to befriend/invite and in the lower courts for sexual parties at house. Judge was one of these judges. As of such Judge was one to side with when told him his side of the initial rape. Judge not knowing the constant threat and exactly what kind of people he was befriend for leisure. Thus began the pernicious intentions of Judge

This was not the end of the scheming. developed a pattern of stalking Mr. and have any and all male do Mr. Mr. cognitively diluted to say anything and after could not even remember to say anything until now where they have been away from Mr. not able to inject him with

while he is in detention because of the charges that they set up against him and even coerced Mr. to plea guilty. responsible for the baseline rapes has also developed a pattern of stalking Mr. to every female he knows or meet and inviting them to sexual parties were Mr. is not allowed to attend obviously but they took this defamation one step further and systematically made it seem to some of these women that Mr. after it occurs is responsible for the date rape drugging and rape of women. On some of these he has even forced Mr. to do the unconscious women on camera. There is a multitude of these Mr. has been put through and is

trying to remember as he has been through over altercations where he has been injected with One of these premeditatedly occurred to one of Judge clerk as she was at a sexual party at house where Mr. was brought to by for this to transpire. Then after the false fact of it became obvious to the clerk but told Mr. to tell her that he has an idea and that is when drafted the very decision that was sent to Mr. friends raped female and then he befriends them on acting heroic or innocent while trying to make Mr. look responsible. Mr. was made to hand it to the clerk that was a victim of persecution of Mr. She opened it in front of him and was awed at the closeness of the formatting to the Courts format.

Mr. was present when typed the decision and even asked if he should include the name of the case, , in the decision that is most likely to render Mr. relief on the set up conviction, "No just write 6th Amendment" not wanting to help the person persecuting him. Mr. seen the decision get made, this is the truth. This was after the pleading of guilty and before this detainment as Mr. has been through no less than a dozen altercations in that time frame. Judge did not render the decision and allowed extra judicial influences as the clerk obviously took the decision and probably with out a second thought upon seeing Mr. name Judge waited to file it. A question that will arise is what about the timely ness issue that is raised in the decision, that was for Mr. premeditatedly steroid to mess up and send to the instead of the stated it would forward it to the which the

so it was half state created. instead of , the result of another altercation and the other timely ness issue is irrelevant because it was state created through the The pre-drafted decision pre-judgment clearly ignored all the other issues that Mr. raised.

has violated or will violate Attached is a list of the Judicial Rules that Judge soon if there is a proper investigation into this matter. This is one of Judges that have violated the integrity of the judiciary. The first and were which Mr. has sent out judicial complaints. The is Judge which like did it under the theory of they did and maybe something bad so might as well punish them now for a victim but this all occurred out of lies, fraud, deceit, and forced actions. Judge misconduct was for what Mr.

looked like he was a victim of another man but that was staged.

It is not certain that misconduct was out of this somewhat honorary fashion as stated his past pernicious intentions.

It is a nice characteristic but there is no room for it to be displayed in the court of law.

All of this misconduct is created by that never grew up from his popularity contest tactic but has only incorporated high crimes into it.

Respectfully and Homestly

Rule 1.3 Avoiding Abuse of the Prestige of the Judicial Office

Rule 2.2 Impartiality and Fairness

A judge shall uphold and apply the law, and shall perform all duties of judicial office fairly and impartially.

Rule 2.3 Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment

Rule 2.4 External Influences on Judicial Conduct

- (A) A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor, or fear of criticism
- (B) <u>A judge shall not permit family, social</u>, political, financial, other interests or <u>relationships</u> to influence the <u>judge's judicial</u> conduct or <u>judgment</u>.
- (C) A judge shall not convey or permit others to convey the impression that any person or organization is in a position to influence the judge.

Rule 2.9 Ex Parte Communication

1

Rule 2.15 Responding to Judicial and Lawyer Misconduct

- (A) Judge having knowledge that another judge has committed a violation of this code that raises a substantial question regarding the judge's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a judge in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.
- (C) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a violation of this code shall take appropriate action.

Rule 2.16 Cooperation with disciplinary Authorities

(A) A judge shall cooperate and be candid and honest with judicial and lawyer disciplinary agencies.

Rule 3.1 Extrajudicial Activities in General

- (A) judge may engage in extrajudicial activities, except as prohibited by law or this code. However, when engaging in extrajudicial activities, a judge shall not:
- (C) Participate in activities that would appear to a reasonable person to undermine the judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality or demean the judicial office.

Section 1