

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-297

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge had violated his speedy trial rights, failed to address requests he had made for findings of fact and conclusions of law on that issue, and had not provided him with complete transcripts of requested hearings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 22, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 22, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2014-297  
2014-297

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Hello, My Name is \_\_\_\_\_ and I RESPECTFULLY PRAY + REQUEST THAT THE ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT PLEASE DO A THOROUGH EXAMINATION AND INVESTIGATION INTO MY VALID + RELEVANT COMPLAINT AGAINST HONORABLE JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_  
STATEMENT OF FACTS: THE DEFENDANT SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS HAVE BEEN VIOLATED. THE DEFENDANT FILED APPROPRIATE MOTION ADDRESSING SAID VIOLATIONS, HONORABLE JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ DENIED THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION.

# 1

ON \_\_\_\_\_ A YEAR AGO THE DEFENDANT MOTIONED "THE COURT FOR A FINDING OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW", THE COURT FAILED TO PROVIDE THE DEFENDANT OF ITS "FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW".

2. ON \_\_\_\_\_ "AGAIN THE DEFENDANT REQUESTED HONORABLE JUDGE PROVIDE DEFENDANT WITH ITS "FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW", WHICH JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ FAILED TO PROVIDE. (SEE MINUTE ENTRY)

3. ON \_\_\_\_\_ "THE DEFENDANT AGAIN REQUESTED HONORABLE JUDGE PROVIDE THE DEFENDANT WITH HER FINDING OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW" WHICH SHE FAILED TO PROVIDE. (SEE MINUTE ENTRY)

4. ON \_\_\_\_\_ THE DEFENDANT FILED ANOTHER MOTION REQUESTING HONORABLE JUDGE PROVIDE THE DEFENDANT HER "FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW".

2014-297

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

AGAIN SHE FAILED TO PROVIDE HER FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW TO DEFENDANT

ON \_\_\_\_\_ THE DEFENDANT AGAIN MOTIONED HONORABLE JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ REQUESTING  
HER FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING THIS MATTER, A COIN HONORABLE  
JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ FAILED TO PROVIDE THE DEFENDANT HER FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

ON \_\_\_\_\_ DEFENDANT AGAIN MOTIONED JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ FOR HER FINDINGS OF FACTS AND  
STATEMENT OF FACT: (#2) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW WHICH SHE FAILED AGAIN TO PROVIDE DEFENDANT

THE DEFENDANT WAS GRANTED AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING RELATING TO HIS 4TH & 6TH AMENDMENTS  
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BEING VIOLATED BY \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE UNLAWFUL  
SEIZURE OF ALL THE DEFENDANT LEGAL MATERIALS AND WORK PRODUCT FROM HIS CELL.

THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING BEGAN ON \_\_\_\_\_ CONTINUED TIL \_\_\_\_\_ AND  
CONTINUED AGAIN TO \_\_\_\_\_ AT THE CONCLUSION OF \_\_\_\_\_ HEARING  
THE DEFENDANT REQUESTED HONORABLE JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ PROVIDE HER FINDINGS OF  
FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW WHICH SHE FAILED TO PROVIDE THE DEFENDANT.

ON \_\_\_\_\_ THE DEFENDANT REQUESTED HONORABLE JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_  
PROVIDE HER FINDING OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW WHICH SHE FAILED TO PROVIDE DEFENDANT  
(SEE \_\_\_\_\_ MINUTE ENTRY)

ON \_\_\_\_\_ AGAIN THE DEFENDANT REQUESTED HONORABLE JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ PROVIDE  
HER FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW. AGAIN SHE FAILED TO PROVIDE HER  
LEGAL RULING TO THE DEFENDANT.

ON THE DEFENDANT MOTIONED HONORABLE JUDGE FOR HER FINDING OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW WHICH SHE FAILED TO PROVIDE THE DEFENDANT.

#3 STATEMENT OF FACTS:

#3

ON UPON MOTION BY THE DEFENDANT, THE COURT ORDERED THAT THE DEFENDANT BE PROVIDED A COMPLETE COPY OF TRANSCRIPTIONS VERBATIM OF THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING WHICH TOOK PLACE ON

ON THE COURT PROVIDED THE DEFENDANT WITH A COPY OF SAID TRANSCRIPTION THAT WERE NOTICEABLY MISSING A KEY WITNESS TESTIMONY, AND NOTICEABLY MISSING WERE THE DEFENDANT'S ENTIRE CLOSING ARGUMENTS, BOTH WITNESSES: SERGEANT AND THE DEFENDANT'S ENTIRE CLOSING ARGUMENTS TOOK PLACE ON, NOW THEY'RE MISSING FROM THE TRANSCRIPTS  
(PLEASE SEE TRANSCRIPTIONS FROM

ON THE DEFENDANT MOTIONED THE COURT FOR A COMPLETE COPY OF TRANSCRIPTIONS VERBATIM OF EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS HELD ON AGAIN THE COURT HAVE FAILED TO RESPOND TO THE DEFENDANT'S REQUEST.

SUMMARY: THE DEFENDANT FULLY UNDERSTANDS THE COURT MAY DO WHATEVER IT PLEASES, WHEN AND HOW IT PLEASES. HOWEVER, THE DEFENDANT REQUEST THAT THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT CONDUCT A FAIR AND OPEN INVESTIGATION INTO WHY THE ABOVE MANY EXAMPLES OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT IS ALLOW TO REPEATEDLY

OCCUR IN THE DEFENDANT'S CASE. ALL THE DEFENDANT IS EXPECTING  
AND REQUESTING FROM \_\_\_\_\_ COURT OF \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY IS  
BASIC FUNDAMENTAL DUE PROCESS RIGHTS NOTHING MORE - NOTHING  
LESS!!! THE DEFENDANT IS UNABLE TO PURSUE OTHER LEGAL  
REMEDIES DUE THE DEFENSE BEING REPEATEDLY DENIED "FINDINGS  
OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW". AND BEING DENIED A TRUE  
COPY VERBATIM OF TRANSCRIPTIONS FROM THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING.  
THE DEFENDANT HAS DONE EVERYTHING HUMANLY LEGALLY POSSIBLE  
INCLUDING VIRTUALLY BEGGING HONORABLE JUDGE  
ALL TO NO AVAIL, WHICH IS BEYOND COMPREHENSION CONSIDER A  
DEFENDANT ALLEGEDLY HAS THESE DUE PROCESS RIGHTS IN THE  
COURT OF LAW. FOR THE RECORD THE DEFENDANT IS SCHEDULED  
TO GO ON TRIAL \_\_\_\_\_ BEFORE HONORABLE  
JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_, WHICH GIVEN THE FACT SHE WILL NOT EVEN  
ACKNOWLEDGE OR PROVIDE THE DEFENDANT BASICS SUCH AS  
HER "FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW", THEN IN ALL  
FAIRNESS, TO EXPECT FAIRNESS AT TRIAL OR  
FROM THIS SAME JUDGE WOULD BE UNWISE. I PRAY THAT YOU  
AT MINIMUM INVESTIGATE MY VERY RELEVANT COMPLAINT.  
THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR VALUABLE TIME, ATTENTION AND  
INVESTIGATION.

RESPECTFULLY:

CASE NO: \_\_\_\_\_

mailing list:

STATE OF ARIZONA

Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 229

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

85007

SIGNATURE

DATE