

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-339

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was violating his legal rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's ruling. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 5, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 5, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Case No.

Case No.

Complaint of

I was arrested on

By

I was given a

in

in

court

in court. I did not sign a Waiver

(See original Enclosed)

* (A Direct violation of AZ Constitution Section

Indictment or Information; Preliminary Examination

No person shall be prosecuted criminally in any court of record

for felony or misdemeanor, other wise than by information of

indictment; no person shall be prosecuted for felony by

information without having had a preliminary examination

before a magistrate or having waived preliminary examination.

(Constitution of the United States)

Amendment 2V)

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise

infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of

a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces,

or in the militia when in actual service in time of war or

public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same

offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor

shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness

against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

[VI] [Right to speedy Trial, Confrontation of witnesses]

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

[VII] [Cruel and unusual Punishment]

Excessive Bail shall not be required, nor excessive fine imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

with Judge

Corrupt Proceedings

And Failure to protect my RIGHTS HAVE NOW led TO [Double Jeopardy] SEE (case no

Both cases are

and Plain Error

Respectfully Submitted