

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-341

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly referred to him as mentally ill.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 5, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 5, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2014-341**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Per my Google Post everything should be in writing as my word to the public.

See attachments (17 pages)

I was asked and the judge hedged toward me being mentally ill. There was no evidence on the record of this. See attached order. It is admitted that I have a learning and issue. But to allege that I am rule 11 or otherwise is scandalous. My in court pleadings in representation of clients is almost flawless.

Per e-motive the judge may be addicted to or the money. (Amount undisclosed) The fact of Clerk's conduct in the emotive advancement issue should be educated as to proper ~~into~~ procedures and not harm attorneys. I will need time to recover from some of their conduct. It should be as to me a justification issue. I think Judge "may" agree.

Walter Edwards,