

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-362

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge failed to have him transported from jail for a hearing.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 10, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 10, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-362

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

City of _____ COURT CHIEF _____
AT _____ COURT, _____
Building _____ STREET _____, ART. _____ has
BEEN VERY RUDE IN NOT RESPONDING BACK TO _____ REGARDING _____
A MOTION TO APPEAL AND OPPOSITION TO DEFAULT JUDGMENT
THAT WAS DATED _____

Chief presiding Judge _____ has displayed _____
A "CONFLICT OF INTEREST" THAT PREJUDICE THE INTEREST OF _____
ARIZONA STATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IN ARTICLE II, _____
SECTION 4, SECTION 11, SECTION 24. TO HAVE THE RIGHT TO _____
APPEAR AND DEFEND IN PERSON WHICH WAS SCHEDULED _____
ON _____ TO REPLY TO THE CHARGES AGAINST _____
WHICH WAS VERY HARSH. BY NOT HAVING _____
TRANSPORTED BY THE _____ of _____ POLICE DEPARTMENT. _____
Chief presiding Judge _____, UNREASONABLE DELAY _____
IN DECISION-MAKING WAS PREJUDICE _____, CASE BY _____
PREJUDGING WITHOUT FULL AND SUFFICIENT EXAMINATION, _____
Chief presiding Judge _____, has violated "JUDICIAL _____
MISCONDUCT" AND ARIZONA STATE CONSTITUTION ARTICLE II, _____
SECTION 26. Chief presiding Judge falsified his oath _____
Judge's IS NOT SUPPOSE TO BREAK THE LAW OF _____
CITIZENS, NOR DENY WITHIN THEIR JURISDICTION THE _____
EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. _____