State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition	of	Complaint	14-364

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainants alleged a superior court judge conducted a probate hearing without proper notice to all parties and deprived one complainant of her inheritance.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 13, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 13, 2015.

Subject:	My complaints against Arizona distribute to Judicial Officials. Government Officials. Anyone who can he	Please file in court. Please elp
From:		
To:		
Date:		
My Cou	rt case was fixed to make sure that I would lose my inh	neritance.
My name	eis Iaman whoha	s been stripped of her
inheritan	ice by a judge who refused to allow me to be in court and t	
On	a hearing was held at the	Court in front of Judge
But the I	, a substitute judge who was filling in for the ju- nearing should never have been held at all because I was The attorneys for the petitioner had sent the notice to the	never notified about the
wrong a		
	e involves the estate of my who live	
died on	did not leave a will. was s and and I nominated	urvived by to be the executor
of the Es	state. And on the court appointed entative for the estate.	as Personal
In early	called to tell me that he was flying fro	
back to	for a court hearing involving a woman named copy of a will admitted into probate. We have	who was trying to d been told copies of wills
have a were no	t legal in	a book tola copiac of time
	irt had scheduled a hearing in front of Judge	Legal notices about
the hear	ring were required to be sent to received their notices. But I never received	and to a notice because it was
never se	ent. lawyers sent the notice to the wrong pers	son. The only reason I
learned	about the hearing was because called me before he	:
	said failure to notify me was very serious.	has been working with
courts a	and judges for . He said notification is not optional, <u>it</u> in be heard or allowed to proceed, unless and until, all part	ties are legally notified.
	said that he would file documents with the court to pro	
sent to realized	the wrong person. felt confident that once the court a I that I had not been notified, that the wrong person had be	

would be postponed until proper service was made. But the hearing was not postponed. Judge the substitute judge filling in for Judge , refused to stop the proceedings even after he found out that the notice had been sent to the wrong person.

- 1. filed a notice with the court, in advance of the hearing, that notice had been sent to the wrong person.
- 2. During the hearing told Judge the wrong person had been sent the notice.
- 3. Documents filed by attorneys for clearly establish they notified the wrong person.
- 4. Judge even stopped the proceedings to discuss the fact that I wasn't served.

In other words Judge knew I had not been notified about a hearing that could strip away my inheritance. Judge knew that lawyers had failed to notify all the heirs. Yet Judge proceeded with the hearing anyway. Failure to notify me may have started as a mistake. But once the court realized notice was sent to the wrong person, excluding me from the hearing was no longer a mistake. It was done deliberately, with the judge's approval.

At the end of the hearing Judge issued rulings. He terminated the probate that had been issued by the court on He stripped of his appointment as sole Personal Representative. He revoked the letters that

In revoking those letters Judge stripped me of my inheritance. All of this took place during a court hearing in which Judge knew I had no opportunity to participate or to testify on my behalf because I was never notified.

Judge then ordered that and serve as co-Personal Representatives in a new probate that he established. Judge also ordered that the case be taken away from the judge who had been assigned the case, Judge And ordered that the case be permanently assigned to him.

Is this how things work in Arizona. Judge is allowed to make up the rules as he sees fit? What Judge did was wrong. What Judge did to me was unfair.

Judge actions should be reversed. I must be given the opportunity to be heard in court. I must be provided the opportunity to challenge the petitioner. The court must hear from all parties before any decisions are made. The decisions Judge has rendered must be vacated and this case restarted from the beginning. That is the only way to insure justice. The only way that all parties are treated equally.

Finally I believe that Judge should be replaced by a different judge. told me that during the hearing Judge stated that he needed the approval of all parties before the case could be transferred to him because the case had originally been assigned to Judge. Since I was never provided the opportunity to state if I had any objections to Judge taking over the case I am doing so now. I am asking that the case be restarted, and another judge, an impartial judge, be assigned.

Because I am an from I feel my only recourse is to send this complaint to as many public officials and judicial supervisors as I can. I can only hope that my complaints will reach the right official who has the power to stop this injustice and allow me to have my day in court from the very beginning.