State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-368		
Judge:		
Complainant:		

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a judge violated an order he previously granted to seal certain evidence by subsequently publicly disclosing a portion of that sealed evidence.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 2, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 2, 2015.

STATE OF AZ Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 West Washington Street Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am submitting this letter and supporting documents as a formal complaint against the Honorable for disclosing information about myself that was obtained through sealed testimony that was given on

On

. mv

represented by

was the subject of a

hearing pursuant to Supreme

Court Rule

was to be

Ariz. R.Sup.Ct. On

, the

signed and filed by

effective thirty (30) days from the date of the

was later overturned by the Supreme Court of Arizona and a

was imposed).

On page and of the

in discussion of aggravating and

concluded that

mitigating factors, cited SPECIFIC, SEALED information/testimony related to (EXHIBIT A). In the footnote on page cites, "This includes

loss of income and the impact on

In addition, Page

States, "Nonetheless, character witnesses testified

of the experienced

issues after the

from approximately

until

Furthermore, extremely detailed information regarding paragraph of page states," However,

testimony was cited in

testified that

beginning in

to present and that

His

had

starting when

goes on to state, "Further the time parameters of these concerns appear to Paragraph of page have substantially occurred within an approximate time period of between , well before any of the matters before us commenced."

The fact that Judge disclosed the above information is very upsetting to me. First, and most importantly, all of the above was sealed information/testimony. On

before any testimony was given by

regarding

and by character

witnesses (all of whom gave

sealed that portion of the hearing/testimony. Not only did

request that the testimony be sealed.

seal a previously submitted

detailing

and the impact it had

. Within that also requested that Judge sea that were submitted in support of in particular gave in depth details about . Lines of page of the hearing transcript	al		
(EXHIBIT B) clearly demonstrate that Judge and the forthcoming testimony regarding took significant steps to ensure that took significant steps to ensure the significant steps			
Judge , on page of the indicates that gave very little weight to testimony and the testimony of witnesses. Given this fact, I am confused as to why Judge would disclose in detail such testimony. could have just as easily stated that gave little or no weight to the sealed testimony presented by and his witnesses surrounding " "or " "Instead, felt it was necessary to go into de about testimony regarding			
I was not a proceedings. While clearly had a significant impact on would not have allowed to proceed with questioning (or certain lines of questioning regarding of and his witnesses had known that this information would be made publicand had about this extensively prior to the wherein the circumstances were to be disclosed and discussed by and witnesses. assured that that and would take whatever steps necessare to ensure that details about would be protected from the public.	lic.		
Per request, was extremely conscious and vigilant regarding the level of sensitivity of information/testimony surrounding . For example, at one point, after the Hearing, a letter	,		
to contained information about that deemed be sealed and inappropriate for to cite in a that could ultimately be part of the public file. After went up the chain of command at the portion the that asserted to be sealed was ultimately redacted (See EXHIBIT C where a portion of the has been redacted. This with the redaction what is now in the public file at). However, it took several and from to for this to finally happen.	e of ein		
I am telling you the above so that you understand how important it was to me that my was sealed from the public. Again, I was not . It is simply not fair to me that for whatever reason decided to allow this sealed information to become public by citing specific information surrounding Of course, this was posted by the Court			
I was not aware that the submitted by contained private and sealed information until I personally went to on or about , to research an unrelated matter (unrelated to this complaint). During the course of my research, I came across			

against	
page	to
demonstrate to that	had been during the time in question related to
	and the state of t
that I thought were sealed. Appro	5 ,
. I specifically aske	• F
file. explained to me that the	simply copied a portion of an already public
document	as part of . went on to
explain that the	was a public document and has no authority to redact
any of the contents of the	that was attached as part of a against
any or and complete or and	against a against
In the meantime, I had researche	d
wherein to my absolute horror, I	
was Testin	nony about
Cimes asserted the second of the	
Since recently discovering that v	ery personal and private information regarding
has been	is
available	, I have been devastated. I have experienced
	I worry that other sealed documents such
as	describing in great detail
and the impact these probl	
win also become public	. I have absolutely no reason at this point to believe that Judge
is committed to protecting	g my privacy.
Please note that I have a	1
	, have a and
also have a	. While I am currently
	intention to become , hopefully within the
next . As one can im	agine, all my with regards to may
require . Whil	e I have my (requiring an extensive
_	can easily obtain information about simply by
reading	
-	,
	ically, as part of the I would not be required
to	. However, again, one could easily obtain information about
71	
	ill not be long before At some
point will undoubtedly	While it is responsibility to
explain any questions abo	
. Just based on	alone, I will have to explain to my
one day why I	atono, I will have to explain to my
. I will need to explai	n reday
. I will basically have to	,
least the first few	, even though I did not that time.
, in part due to	incredible efforts and my amazing
(including	who was), while I was
	• •

While

. Despite all this, due to Judge

carelessness and/or or complete disregard for my privacy I will have to explain things that I would not have to if not for Judge

Sealed testimony surrounding should have been treated with absolute care. All sealed testimony, whether it is regarding one's

, etc., should at the very least, be protected by the Courts. If sealed information is leaked/disclosed so easily and carelessly, whether it is testimony obtained in a custody case, criminal matter, or even in a highly sensitive civil case, then witnesses will lose their faith and confidence in the Courts to protect them and will be reluctant to testify.

Sadly, as a result of Judge actions in this particular matter, other facing may be hesitant to disclose mitigating factors that have significantly affected their judgment or ability to may face an unexpected crisis in his or her life that is of personal and delicate nature. and their witnesses should not have to be concerned that their embarrassing or potentially damaging testimony, once sealed, may be disclosed by the Courts.

hearings, in order to provide the , should also be able to trust that their sealed testimony will actually remain sealed. If not, the entire fairness of hearings will be compromised.

In summary, I feel that Judge was at a minimum, reckless and proceeded with total disregard for my privacy when

As I previously explained, his actions may lead to immeasurable consequences for me in the future. In addition, and witnesses need to have confidence in the Courts (especially) to protect sealed information from the public. Judge has demonstrated that "sealed" does not necessarily mean sealed.

I am respectfully requesting that the Commission on Judicial Conduct give serious consideration to my complaint and that Judge face disciplinary action for essentially unsealing sealed information about

Thank You.

***Also, please be advised that on or about , on my behalf, submitted a request for a Protective Order to Judge so that could take out or redact documents in the public file(s) containing information about The Request for the Protective Order also Requested that Judge redact information about wherein there is an e-mail exchange between regarding the Protective Order). It is my understanding that Protective Orders are typically given a fairly high priority. It is also my understanding that to this day Judge has not ruled on the Protective Order, further lack of interest in protecting my privacy. demonstrating