

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-377

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant sought assistance in having a default judgment entered in his case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

Neither the commission nor its staff can provide legal assistance to complainants. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 10, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 10, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2014-377**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

AS VERIFIED BY THE ENCLOSED COURT SUMMONS, THE DEFENDANT;  
WAS SERVED A SUMMONS ON

MR \_\_\_\_\_ WAS THE OWNER AND THEREFORE HIS VP OF OPERATIONS  
AND OFFICE MGR - \_\_\_\_\_ HAD FULL AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT SUCH  
DOCUMENTS ON HER EMPLOYER'S BEHALF - WHICH SHE CLEARLY  
ACCEPTED (AND AGREE TO WITH HER SUMMONS SIGNATURE) AS SHOWN  
ON THE SUMMONS DOCUMENT.

THE PLAINTIFF HAS SINCE FILED FOLLOWUP DEFAULT PAPERS ON THE  
DEFENDANT, WHICH MR \_\_\_\_\_ HAS READILY ACCEPTED.

THEREFORE, THE PLAINTIFFS REQUEST THE DEFAULT JUDGMENT BE  
PROCESSED, A.S.A.P.