

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 14-379

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly calculated a child support order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 17, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 17, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2014-379**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:**

**Judge's Name:**

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ didn't calculate the \_\_\_\_\_ Support worksheet  
based on the \_\_\_\_\_ time she, herself ordered.  
Her error was brought to her attention on \_\_\_\_\_  
and she has not amended her ruling to the  
Correct calculation. Respondent has now paid  
Months of \_\_\_\_\_ support when \_\_\_\_\_ shouldn't  
have paid any at all and has diligently followed  
the judge's orders of shared custody despite the  
~~obvious~~ prejudice. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ is clearly either  
incompetent or has favor to petitioner and his  
counsel. Her actions have caused undue financial  
and emotional hardship.