State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 14-384
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly presided over his case when the judge had previously been the prosecutor on the case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 17, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 17, 2014.

STATE BAR

ADviserient

Asamb "tolamos laisidat to moieeinnos"

Modice of conflict of interest Due to Hon.

PERRIFFIANCE A CONTINUANCE IN A CASE THE THAT PROSE-

bafus

And PERMITTED TIP.

HAIT OF

APPEARANCE IN

While COMPLAINT IS PENDING

Hanine 2 A

REGIORDING his this complet in

Filed

(Hom) stood in for Hom.

CAUSED A CONTINUODOUSE TO A CASE HOW. LEO PROSECHOR in

MAS THE

BRIEF Will Fallow:

1990 ADVISED:

SIMICEDELA

Onl	rflavos	Hord	
Pearitted A	Continuance Molion	BY AHY	`
CASE		. MR.	i foin apui
	on 80% had Been		12
	AROPES DINION FIRM	7	
CHURCH CO HIG	SOR THE PAST	THE CHICINAL CHIL	AC 200GE AL
Onl		Rigt A bed	Andlow R
CASE And W	188/iauA four ea		
	lowever, Hon		
	PERNITTED to TIAN		
V121000 00142111	DANCES UNIXNOWN		
701 Th 1991	ie Hool	was The	Santer Wiasa
IN TH 1991	925	THE EAGL	
AFFORMEN BU	DE, Honl. Gainust the int Di	ifferent cases	of Failure to
AFFORMEN BU	Gainst the int D	ifferent cases	of Andling ?C
AFFORMEN BU	Gainst the int D	ifferent cases	of Failure to
AFFORMEN BU	Gainst the int D	ifferent cases	of Andling ?C
AFFORMEN BU	Gainst the int D	ifferent cases	of Failure to
AFFORMEN BU	Gainst the int D	ifferent cases	of Failure to
AFFORMEN BU	Gainst the int Di	to count	of Failure to
Attornier De Register FA	Gainst the int Di	The county to county	of Failure to
REGISTER FA	CASE Who on	to count to count WAS PROSE CALLED	culted By Ho
REGISTER FA	CASE Who on ERRIFFED A Continuor	to count to count WAS PROSE CALLED	culted BY HO This SAME

I have Family rienbers who witnessed these two officials make a Decision in my case without me present And without my knowledge.

ISSUE

Anid Onl-Going.

Hon! TIADE A DECISION ON A CASE THAT HE HAD
PROSECULED Which is A HUGE CONFLICT OF INFER
EST, AND PREJUDICE, AND NOT THE TRADITIONAL PROCESS OF
THE TWOICIAL SYSTEM. HOW HAS NO ADTORNEY TO
PROSECULE THY CASE AND THEN THATE ANY KIND OF RULING
ON THE CASE HE PROSECULED, AS A TWORE. HOW. IS
NOT SUPPOSE TO BE OF ANY INFLIENCE IN THY CASE BUT HIS
INFLIENCE HAS ALLOWED THE AND TO SPENK IN
OR TO ADDRESS ISSUES IN THY CASE.
HOW HOS PROSECULED A SUID HAS TO SPENK IN
THY CASE WHEN I HAVE FILED A CIVIL ACTION ACAINST

AROUND HIS THREATENING THEODORY. THE BEHAVIOR IS IN

I Believe That Both And Hon.

THAVE INVENTED TO USE THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM to

WARRANT PUBLING TIE IN PRISON TO have THE TIDADEAED to

DIRECT Relation with A complaint That is Pending

Convers Facts That I have sever Been Committed of A

TO BE IN CUSTODY FOR FAILURE to REGISTER. SEE

CRIM. Hist REPORT # (NO CRIMES).

	I'AN REQUESTING THAT THE CONNISSION REVIEW THE CASE
	DOCKET OF AND TORY TRANSCRIPTS 1101.
	Filed
	There is no excluse for a Prosecutor to TIAKE Rulinus
	ON his her OWN PREVIOUS CASE BEFORE BECOME A JUDGE I
120	Do Not FEEL That This is PROPER DOUDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCE
	That The PROSECULOR in THY CASE has handle this
	SATIE CASE AS A JUDGE AND DENIED THY HEARING.
	of moifazifeaum aia? A laavid 38 I Tant HeA blooks I
	This TIALLER. THERE ARE WHOLESSESS to SEEING
	All of CASES ON PLEASE RESPECT
	The issues I ADDRESS TO THESE Ethic Holations PACISM
	is An issue That Will BE ADDRESSED DUE TO THE BEING
	OF COLOR DOES NOT TIAKE IT OHAY TO THREATEN TIY FAMILY
	OR MY LIFE BECAUSE THESE OFFICIALS HAVE THE POWER to
1 2	CONCERT THEIR OWN ACCOUNTABILITY THROUGH THROTER.
	I THEED JUSTICE MOT PROPLE TRIBLE TO HURT TIE HELP ME
	SINCERELY
	ADVISED:
	D++7
	AZ State BAR
	1.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
	* A

Factual into.					
CASE NO.		£1/4 Q			
Offenuse: Failure to REGISTER	Re	CLASS 4 FELONY			
JUDGE PAO TEM					
State's Altorner					
DESEND. AHORNEY:					
CASE NO.		T.I.A.			
Offenise: failure to REGISTER	HO SEX Offer	MARK CIASS A RELOWY			
CALENIARR.	4				
STATES AFFORNIEY:	STATES AFFORNIEY:				
DEFEND. ALTORNEY:					
CASE NO.		F,14 d			
OFFERISE: SAILVARE to MODIFY AS	Raphaaffo Kas				
INDGE:	2	*			
States Attorner:					
DEFERING OFFORMEY.		*			
DisnissEd Wo PRET	DOICE THEN	ER INDICTED PER Apps.			
AZ GON PUBLIC ACCESS					
CASE NO		Filed			
Offeners: Sail to motify	Fail taregi	s Rafe			
JUDGE:					
STATE'S DHORNEY					
DEFENUL ALLORAVEY:	-				
DETERMINATION OF THE STATE OF T	-				