

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-386

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

An anonymous complainant, using another person's name, alleged that a justice of the peace was not following the law in connection with the judge's personal affairs.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 26, 2014

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

A copy of this order was mailed
to the judge on December 26, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014 - 386

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Judge is building an house on Her land (see Exhibit A),
the work was done with no permit and then the non permit complain was close
(see exhibit B)
the contractor and/or sub contractors are acting illegally by hiring undocumented
workers,
we sent a letter to the judge with this information, however, as of today
the judge is keeping her working relationship with the contractor.