

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 14-408

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace made improper rulings in a small claims action.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 14, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 14, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2014-408

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I
CAME TO THE COURT ON _____ TO HEAR
A CASE ON NON-PAYMENT OF A CONTRACT OF _____
VS. _____ OF _____
SERVICES TOOK PLACE AT _____
IN _____ AZ AND AT THE TIME
I WAS FULLY LICENCED AND BONDED BY THE STATE
OF AZ _____ THE LICENCE WAS IN WORKS
OF JOINING _____ SO IT WOULD BE A
LICENSE WITH THE ROC NOT TWO SEPERATE
ONES. AS OF _____ LONG AFTER WORK
WAS COMPLETED, _____ WAS FORCED
TO CLOSE IT'S DOORS AND PUT LICENSE ON HOLD DUE
TO THE FACT OF CUSTOMERS NON PAYMENT AND NON
WORK. JUDGE _____ DID NOT TAKE INTO
CONSIDERATION NOR HEAR MY CASE, JUST MADE A
DECISION BASED ON HIS OWN FEELINGS AND
DID NOT HEAR ANYTHING I HAD TO SAY NOR
THE EVIDENCE, JUST THAT IT "APPEARS" THAT
THE _____ WAS IN SUSPENSION AT THE TIME,
I OFFERED PROOF TO THE COURT WITH A ROC
LETTER ON _____ AND WAS DENIED.

