

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-012

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge (now retired) made improper rulings in a contract case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 11, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

A copy of this order was mailed to the complainant on February 11, 2015. When a current address becomes available, a copy of this order will be mailed to the retired judge

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Com.D

2015-012

Mr. George A. Riemer
Executive Director
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 West Washington Street, Ste 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Complaint: Respondant, Judge

Dear Mr. Riemer:

I brought a civil lawsuit on a new car dealer's claim that a contract between me and the car dealer was valid. I contend the contract is not valid.

In violation of Constitution of the United States, Article 1, Section 10, also known as the Contract Clause, Judge _____ nullified the clause that created an obligation between the parties.

Judge _____ participated with _____, and his law firm, _____ in the misuse of the court and the law to create and enforce a contract for Involuntary Servitude in violation of the 13th Amendment, Section 1, to the Constitution of the United States.

Additionally, it is clear from the record of this case the judge has not provided Equal Protection to me, an indigent litigant, in accordance with the 14th Amendment, Section 1, of the Constitution of the United States.

This judge holds an elective office, and should be removed for obvious abuse of discretion if discretion exists. Restitution of all money taken from me must be made immediately, together with my costs and punitive damages. By allowing the attorneys for the defense to violate the Rules of Civil Procedure and the Doctrine of Stare Decisis the court itself is obstructing the process of law.

This is clearly an action that I will submit to the State Bar of Arizona for appropriate action for the lawyer's misuse of the law and the Court to commit a criminal act and cause personal injury.

Sincerely,