

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-026

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged two superior court judges made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officers' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety as to the two judges, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 18, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

A copy of this order was e-mailed to the complainant and mailed to the two judges on February 18, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
 Phoenix, AZ 85007

To Whom This May Concern,

The purpose of this letter is to request information related to the fact that the convicted murderer, \_\_\_\_\_ has been allowed by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ and Judge \_\_\_\_\_ to attend an \_\_\_\_\_ which was originally intended to be a "Pretrial Hearing" for a pool of defendants, who are facing upcoming capital murder trials, but whose juries have not, as yet, been selected to hear their cases.

In the pursuit of justice, truth, and fairness, and most importantly, that right be done, for the victims & the public good, and also, in order to protect the public's constitutional rights to transparency and speed in the criminal trials overseen by our publically-elected judges in our criminal justice system, many conscientious citizens, including myself are very concerned that \_\_\_\_\_ criminal trial has been allowed to run wild, and that the undue deference shown to this specific convicted murderer, by this particular judge, \_\_\_\_\_ exceeds the reasonable boundaries of care & caution, which is unprecedented in other similar capital murder trials which are adjudicated over by highly competent judges in a more timely & less costly manner.

After all, \_\_\_\_\_ brutally slaughtered \_\_\_\_\_ in such a cruel manner, as to inflict horrible pain and suffering to his person, while he was still alive. The initial jury unanimously found her guilty of \_\_\_\_\_ premeditated murder, with the aggravating factor of especial cruelty. Moreover, the \_\_\_\_\_ jurors found for the \_\_\_\_\_ Penalty. The crime scene photos clearly show a terrible blood-bath which is far beyond, what might considered to be a typical "crime of passion", albeit still tragic & terrible, and yet, her murder was grotesque in its' savagery. In this case, the convicted murderer butchered a man to \_\_\_\_\_ nearly decapitating him, and shot him in the head. This crime was heinous, depraved, and cruel.

In over \_\_\_\_\_ months, since the hung jury of the penalty phase, in \_\_\_\_\_ entertained a series of secretive "ex-parte" meetings with \_\_\_\_\_ and her defense team. These "ex-parte" meetings resulted in delay after delay after delay, such that the final penalty re-trial did not begin on schedule as per the original \_\_\_\_\_ date; and also, a shroud of unconstitutional secrecy which then descended upon all of the trial proceedings created an atmosphere of suspicion because the convicted murderer kept maligning the victim on social media; bragging about her money-making schemes; and, claiming she had "bombshells" that would serve to overturn her conviction. Still, documents, hearings, and conferences remained secret & closed-- until the AZ Court of Appeals reminded \_\_\_\_\_ that in this country, the public's right to transparency cannot simply be trampled asunder because a cunning, manipulative psycho-killer-cum-pathological liar & her unethical defense team succeeded in manipulating a weak & incompetent judge into "gaming the system" on their behalf.

who wrongly enjoys a classification of "indigent", has stirred-up trouble ever since the sentencing phase began-- resulting in the manipulation of the Court to obtain unacceptable postponements, over and over and over again, all done "behind-closed-door", in secret. She threatened to have the prosecutor, murdered, if she gets the Penalty. She has exploited her victim, in order to sell her junk-"art"; cheap paraphernalia; and, to ask for donations on the basis of her notoriety for having exterminated him. She hired Private Investigator, and tricked into granting her a (already have been given & turned down self-representation in which she used to construct a fraudulent "computer porn" diversion to disrupt the trial proceedings, and also, to attempt to frame the prosecutor for "misconduct", when there was no proof of "porn" ever having been accessed by the dead victim, and no evidence of any misconduct and/or mishandling of evidence by the State.

By contrast, there is a great deal of evidence that has committed fraud, time and time again:-- i.e. (a) manufacturing fake, forged pedophile letters in order to trash the victim; (b) sending coded messages to one of her criminal cohorts, in a magazine, asking him to lie for her; smuggling contraband in and out of jail via her so-called "mitigation specialist", who has been involved in shady dealings (e.g. tweeting for acting as her money-manager; etc.); (c) setting up false, perjurious witness testimony by hired-gun "experts" and false "affidavits" replete with lies. Now, we come to the point, nearing the end of and there is no end in sight to this nightmarish final penalty re-trial precludes the State from presenting the Truth, the Whole Truth, and Nothing But the Truth, about all of many vicious schemes (e.g. manipulating her criminal cohorts into doing her "dirty work", her "Purple Plum" manipulation of handsome male customers, etc.); violent abuse (e.g. animal torture, beating her own with a baseball bat, etc.); and, her malicious stalking & harrassment of the victim (e.g. hacking into the victim's social media & bank accounts, and stealing his money, etc.)

Moreover, is now shockingly allowed to jump on the bandwagon, which seems to have been postponed until early Does this mean that will collude with and her unethical defense team to "game the system" in order to delay the re-trial until then, perhaps even engineering such a disruptive re-trial (which it has been to-date:-- e.g. unconstitutional secrecy; rude & dishonest witnesses & false affidavits; diversionary delay tactics to drag the trial out indefinitely; etc.) such that jurors will be forced to drop out, triggering a mistrial? Is this kind of manipulation of our justice system by a biased & incompetent judge, supporting to be "swept under the carpet"? Is there no sense that the manner in which this sentencing phase has been conducted is wrong, both ethically and legally too? These questions are cause for concern, and have not been addressed by the AZ Judiciary, nor the AZ Media, nor any AZ Defense Attorneys, and therefore, your honest assessment and informed feedback would be appreciated:--

1. On what basis has this been granted to a pool of defendants charged with degree premeditated murder? Is there a generic case to be made against the "Notice of the Penalty" filed by the State, or is each defendant's case being considered on its' own merits? Will the decision made by Judge be a "blanket" decision applying to all of the defendants alike regardless of circumstance, or will each case incur a potentially different outcome? How does such a group decision comport with the State's legal right to pursue the Penalty as the maximum penalty for a premeditated murder conviction?

2. Why has [redacted] a convicted murderer, whose last jury unanimously found her guilty of premeditated murder, and also, with an aggravating factor of "especial cruelty", and who is in the middle of a penalty trial to determine whether or not that she will get the [redacted] Penalty, allowed to attach herself to the [redacted] i.e. a Pretrial Hearing? [redacted] case is no longer "pretrial", by any definition of that term. If she can jump on the [redacted] then why not other defendants, who have already been sentenced to the [redacted] including [redacted] for example?

3. Is it criminally fraudulent for a judge, [redacted] to mislead citizens impanelled as jurors, who in good faith are doing their duty, and believe that they are deciding upon the fate of the convicted murderer, [redacted] but who are unaware that the "rug might be pulled out" from underneath their decision via the [redacted] Vehicle? Any [redacted] should take place prior to trial, not after a jury has been called to decide upon the [redacted] or [redacted] Why would any judge ask a jury to make such a sacrifice (i.e. [redacted] final penalty re-trial was supposed to be concluded by the end of [redacted] so said but now, it is being dragged out by [redacted] until the end of [redacted] and perhaps beyond), when the judge, Judge [redacted] has allowed the convicted murderer to "game the system" by jumping on the [redacted] Shouldn't the jury be advised that [redacted] is riding the [redacted] in the hope that the [redacted] will be taken "off-the-table"? The jury appears to be laboring under false pretenses, as [redacted] engineers the ways-and-means for [redacted] to avoid the [redacted]

4. When will the over [redacted] sealed documents; the transcripts from the many sealed hearings; and, the transcripts from the numerous inappropriate "ex-parte" meetings be made public? Within these unconstitutionally secret documents are the discussions which have transpired between this incompetent, biased, and corrupt judge, [redacted] and [redacted] which may cast light upon the rationale (and, the manipulations) which took place, leading [redacted] to manoeuvre [redacted] to the [redacted] And, if it is found that [redacted] actually colluded with [redacted] in order to "game" the trial proceedings, to be delayed for over a [redacted] months, from the hung jury, in [redacted] in order to enable the convicted murderer to avoid the [redacted] Penalty, and to steer her to this [redacted] then [redacted] may be in direct violation of the law, as well as having violated her oath of office, and the public trust. Already, [redacted] has demonstrated that she is all too ready & willing to violate the US Constitution, the AZ Victims' Bill of Rights, the AZ Judicial Code of Conduct, and the AZ Legal Statutes governing the Disclosure of Witnesses by the Defendant to the State, in undue deference towards [redacted] What other laws might have been violated by [redacted]

5. What is the nature of the relationship between the AZ Media & the AZ Judiciary, particularly in AZ [redacted] County? Why have [redacted] many serious infractions of the law gone un-reported? Why is [redacted] allowed to let [redacted] run-amok, without any serious investigative reporting taking place? Why has [redacted] special treatment & unbelievable favoritism towards the convicted murderer been "swept under the carpet"? Why is it that the State is pummeled by the press reporters, but that gross negligence (e.g. lack of courtroom decorum; allowing defense attorneys to filibuster & disrupt the trial proceedings; delays without precedent for a penalty re-trial; etc.); blatant incompetence (e.g. inability to rule in a timely manner; allowing the defense team to commit perjury & fraud including, but not restricted to, trashing the victim with uncorroborated lies; etc.); and, criminal wrongdoing by the judge (e.g. many inappropriate "ex-parte" meetings with convicted killer; unconstitutional secrecy; violation of the AZ Victims' Bill of Rights; etc.), [redacted] are all simply glossed over and excused with no expectation of public accountability? Why is this [redacted] such a big secret?

When referring to our justice system, the great U.S. \_\_\_\_\_ wisely  
observed:-- "Sunlight is said to be the best of disinfectants; electric light the most efficient policeman."  
Without the light of public scrutiny, and, without the media reporting upon what is taking place in this  
trial, and others too... and, without our publically-elected officials held accountable for their actions,  
including \_\_\_\_\_ in the AZ \_\_\_\_\_ County \_\_\_\_\_ Court... our criminal justice system is being corrupted  
before our very eyes, as we watch this nightmarish re-trial unfold. It's not always the new lie revealed in  
the courtroom that counts-- but also, the "gaming-of-the-system" by setting the plot-line, down-the-  
road, like this exploitation by \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ of this mysterious \_\_\_\_\_ Is this right?

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Attachment:

Copy:

AZ Governor, AZ Legislators  
AZ Judiciary, AZ Commission on Judicial Conduct  
AZ State Attorney, AZ \_\_\_\_\_ County Attorneys  
AZ Media, AZ Media Attorney