

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-029

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was prejudiced against him and unfair.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 18, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 18, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2015-029 To the Commission on Judicial Conduct

From

To the individuals in authority in a discerning negligence
in a dispute of the use and extraordinary misconduct with
a Court Judge in his openly abusive wordings and biased
racial behavior in a Court Room of Law in Mr.

in Court Docket minutes entered in past court proceeding against the
Defendant Mr. in the Judge's unprofessional words

used against that Defendant by withholding motion filed but never
given the defendant a hearing to be heard and selected to announce so
that that hearing would take place. Also the unprofessional remarks
made towards and against the defendant on multiple court dates.

Dating back to and up to with inappropriate
language as a Judge in his slandering and speech behavior and
ethics and demeanor in words spoken to defendant and misappre-
hension in appointing a fair and loyal Public Defender or

Lawyer to lawfully represent Mr. in a fair and impartial
trial in his court room to defend and plead his case as innocent
to only be ridiculed and slander until proven guilty by a jury and
chosen as a right to a fair and impartial trial. That is a right for

all State and American Citizens that are provided by state law if
indignant and regardless to sex, color, creed, or nationality for the
rights of all citizens, rich or poor. My rights and the plus witnesses
that have gone unheard, although have been interviewed with some

also standing in Mr. to verify that those interviews and testimonies
had indeed taken place at my home and also in Court on
my 1st initial court appointed Public Defender on
that interview and testimonies taken in Conference Rm witness'

and last name taken in interview also standing in
as a witness to those testimonies taking place was Ms.

for the defendant. I have also repeated to my appointed Councils
and the Judge to try to explain only to be told to shut up and that my
case has gone far enough and that I cannot afford an attorney and that
I have stalled long enough. How can I be stalling when the appointed
lawyers representing me have not so much as talked to me about my case
as to what happened or to how we should fight this case that I am
being falsely accused of and being denied my witnesses statements and
testimonies that should be provided and admissible for my defense.

Also the individuals overlooking this complaint. Why is it also that I have requested my past attorney to file motions for certain reasons and areas. And also to canvass the neighborhood and also provided names for those representing me go and speak to these witnesses that were actually overlooking and witnessing the male officer brutally dragging me by force off of my private property and driveway yanking and cutting my yard and not riding a bicycle without a head light which is also false because it was daylight early evening before sunset and also as female officer and to nearly hitting and running over pedestrian and to run and push a mother pushing a stroller with a child in seat so all three would not get hit by the unprofessional car maneuvering as the female officer runs the stop sign in a residential area that is a violation of Motor Vehicle Law and also police behavior Also police car is running at a high rate of speed in residential area headed north on to also come from with striking distance to almost hit another gentleman crossing headed west from street to his home. He has also been interviewed and statement testimony taken by and his investigator alone with other statements and testimonies taken with myself aid also in Court and Court appointed Public Defender in Court on or about was and along with Ms for my legal defense and purposes so to cover my steps for defense to fight this case and also because all these people were concerned because of the unprofessional and conduct and the brutal force that was being inflicted upon me by the officer with the female officer jump- ing up and down hysterically shouting to let me up to breathe because officer was beating my head against the hood of the police car to near death and suffocation that early evening before sunset with other witnesses stand by and watching from beginning to end with words that the officers were saying and doing that day on before sunset and around the corner and family and also the near from street. So you see all of you reading this letter the at Commission Office of Judicial Conduct Board there is more that meets the eye and ears as I write this letter: Why is Judge conducting himself in such an inappropriate

and in professional manner and not providing me with the right and appropriate lawyers to investigate what I have been requesting in my Court and State appointed attorneys. Is it not that a Judge is to uphold the rights for all peoples in a Court of Law such as in his Court Room the standards in which is profession and Law Degree as a scholar in his elected field is to show and uphold the rights for all peoples in a Court of Law this innocent until proven guilty by jury not a judge and to also for a defendant to be appointed a fair and impartial lawyer to represent is Client to fair trial or have his motion heard and also to have his witnesses testimonies recorded into State Public Records for all American Citizen regardless of his or her background or sex, color, or creed to obtain for need be reasons to have or to obtain for a fair and legal defense and also for a fair and impartial Trial in the Due Process of Law for all rights as an American Citizen of the United States of America in God Due we Trust for a fair and honest representative to fight an honest case such as mine. You indignantly no that want is fair because that is why you all are a Judicial and Fair Commission to honor all peoples rights in the Courts of Law when to the wrongs that I see violated in my case become right? You all can see and read that I have written are the written facts. I have no need to prejudice or make false claims or accusations. I myself and the witnesses as others are concerned as to why I and we are being treated and demeaned by the courts or judge to make us look and treated as low rate citizens simply because of my past my past has no or illegitimate in this case the past is the past we don't judge a book by its cover does it not when there facts to been shown and uncovered, but has not been shown in my case by my Court appointed lawyers who are suppose to represent me to their best and knowledgeable as scholars in there Law Degrees and there State Bar Certified legal profession, That I have and also the witnesses have not been shown in the Professionalism of the Lawyers in my case. In the United States of America; A person of Sex Color, or Creed. Is it that our Country was based and built on and our Constitutional rights as a Citizen regardless if we are rich or poor that the indigent are also to be represented by a state appointed Public Defender or Lawyer to fairly fight and be represented by a fair and impartial lawyer to fight for their Clients rights for a fair and impartial in a court of Law.

In Arizona I seem and other do also why are told by Judges or Attorneys to sign a plea bargain regardless of our innocents and threatened or Coerced to sign or you are threatened to prison or treated as a third rate citizen and aren't being helped in any way or form to sign a deal as they claim. Our rights are being trampled or violated in the Arizona Courts of Law by miss representation in Council where gentlemen and ladies do our lawyers come in to fight for the right of the poor and the Indigent. The Due Process of a fair trial is for all is it? Not just for a few individuals that I am addressing this letter to. (The Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance; At the heart of a process is the work of a Commission. These reviews include responses also from themselves and others composed of public attorneys, judges and also from negative surveys.

But the power voters are informed recommendations and nothing more, its to the voters in the end. Some may have strong objections to the judges court room manner, But when it comes to categories such as integrity and administrative performance also temperament and communications. Especially for judges a emotionally wrenching court division where a judge has 30 many cases to hear each day, these days can be damning. In the past months in my case I have ask the Commissioner and judge that have heard or questioned my plea's for help in obtaining a proper Public Defender or Lawyer to represent me, only to be obviously or harassed slandered coerced also chortling trying to force me to sign to a charge that I did not do. I have been these

admirals, Comair, judge, Prosecutor, Public Defenders, two Per Bona lawyers; Their outrageous and evasive negligence and distrust has been obvious as shown and illustrated unprofessionalism as an AZ. Bar certified Attorneys and also

and Every person has a right to leave his or her court room hearing feeling their rights by law have been considered and presented by state appointed council Public Defenders Per Bona lawyers and their "agreements heard" in fact, just not heard, but seriously considered. "Deamnor" is a very important consideration, If people don't come out of court feeling they've been treated fairly, the system doesn't work. It may be a difficult choice for a judge

But maintaining a court system that keeps the "Public Faith", is absolutely crucial to a "Nation of Laws".

To the Commission on Judicial Conduct I thank you all ladies and gentleman for reading and consideration and time to read my letter and in hope that you will have lent and open ear to my dilemma and problem that I have in my case that is set for Trial in front of Judge

that I find myself going to Trial without a Court appointed

Attorney or

with no discoveries or any type of

defensive legal help that is a right for all America Citizens of the United States of America. In God do we all Trust.

Thank you and I hope you will all understand why I have complained to you all there at the Commission.

God Bless you all for you time

Sincerely

2015-029