

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-040

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 4, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 4, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Comp

2015-040

COMMISSION JUDICIAL CONDUCT

STATE OF ARIZONA

PHOENIX AZ-

THIS IS A FORMAL WRITTEN COMPLAINT: RE:

COURT JUDGE HAS BEEN
BOGUSLY-INFLUENCED AND MANIPULATED INTO IGNORING-A
MOTION FOR A HEARING-TO TERMINATE COUNTY
PUBLIC DEFENDER-FROM REPRESENTATION OF
BY BRANDING.

COURT JUDGE: HAS BEEN
BOGUSLY-INFLUENCED AND MANIPULATED-INTO IGNORING-A
MOTION FOR A HEARING-FOR BAIL MODIFICATION-CHARGES
MODIFICATION AND RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION-BY
BRANDING.

JUSTICE FOR ALL IS A JOKE - PROOF POSITIVE-CELEBRITY
STATUS-IMMUNITY-FROM PROSECUTION IS A FACT - REQUEST
COMMISSION JUDICIAL CONDUCT INVESTIGATION-VIII-AMENDMENT-
PROTECTION AGAINST EXCESSIVE BAIL-ETC. .

SINCERELY