

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-041

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace made improper rulings in a civil case and that the judge had an improper ex parte communication with the defendant.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 4, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 4, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-041

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge made a ruling defendant could not present evidence as she failed to send discovery and then allowed defendant to submit her phone as evidence in trial.

Judge in her written response falsified testimony stating that I the plaintiff agreed to drop the lawsuit if the defendant would drop order of protection.

The defendant nor I testified to this fact during the trial.

I have the audio/video of the entire trial as proof of this.

the judge and the defendant spoke after I left the court room and the defendant told the judge this as a means of slammking the door even

further on me in my rights to appeal. Defendant and her parents were in the court room for over after judge left to make her ruling. Defendants

father assumed that the microphones and the video was stopped. IT WAS NOT, it was still recording

The audio/video tape hears the defendant say " she was scared" as she knew she

knew she did not send response to lawsuit to plaintiff. Defendant is on

audio and video making this statement. Defendant is also overheard calling the plaintiff " crazy" and "she hates it when he calls her a liar". " that Plaintiff is the DEVIL

"That he must be crazy if he thinks i am going to a devil"

Judge falsely claiming that she was not considering the Order of Protection in

the case yet falsifying the record, put it falsely in her ruling

that the was testified during the trialhis during the trial and she did not. This conversation between judge and defendant came after the procedings and it was captured on audio/video as well.

Defendant as well thought the microphones and video was off and spoke

Also filed with ² court

