

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-048

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainants alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a dependency case and did not afford one complainant the right to be heard.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaints are dismissed in their entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 4, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainants and the judge on March 4, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

I am writing this formal complaint against Judge _____ County judge who ruled on
that my great grandchildren be adopted separately by their current foster families.

Within _____ days of these children being taken in custody by _____ I personally called _____ to let them know
that these children have family in the metro _____ area who were ready, willing and able to care for
these children should the rights of the parents be terminated.

While the case was still in the reunification mode, my _____ and _____ along
with myself, took _____ classes in _____ and all _____ were certified as Adoptive/Foster
Parents in the State of _____ We both had home studies done and an _____ was approved for both my
and me.

My complaint about Judge _____ is that she did not appear to have the best interest of the children
when she ruled. How could she possibly think that these children would fare better in the long run by
being kept apart and not raised together as siblings should, when they have qualified family to raise and
love them.

CONFIDENTIAL FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

I want to file a formal complaint against Judge [redacted] I do not feel that she acted in the best-interest of my family members when, on [redacted] she ruled to allow my [redacted] and [redacted] old great [redacted] and [redacted] to be adopted separately by their foster parents, despite us having an place since [redacted] to adopt both children together.

They have been in [redacted] foster care since [redacted] and our [redacted] was approved within If Judge [redacted] would have objectively looked at our case, and would have concluded that the best placement for children was 1. together, and 2. with qualified family, these children could have established permanency long ago.

My great [redacted] was born prematurely and has [redacted] am a pediatric physical therapist. Would it not be in the best interest of ANY child to be raised by someone who has a vast working knowledge of their diagnosis? [redacted] does not go away...it is a life-long diagnosis.

Again, this complaint is that she did not have the best interest of my great [redacted] and [redacted] at the forefront when she made the decision for the children to remain apart for the rest of their lives. She did not place the best interest of the children at the forefront when she chose to keep a little boy in a home with a [redacted] old foster [redacted] with [redacted] children in the home. She did not place their best-interests in the forefront when she chose to not place my great [redacted] with a pediatric physical therapist. She did not place the best interest of the children in the forefront when she did not place them with approved, qualified family.