

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-058

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly suspended his visitation schedule in a family law matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 12, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 12, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-058

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On the 14th of March Ignored and refuse
to respond to two motions to reconsider a clear violation of
due process constitutional rights (1) Right to representation
of Counsel (2) a violation of due process of the Law
to a fair and equal right hearing... the Judge above named
then when on to exercise his authority by abusing his power
to in Law proceeding and suspended telephone contact
with based on the bias prejudice testimony of
a non professional nor certified phone monitor that was a
personal close friend of the petitioner thus not only
proved to have made it a conflict of interest for this above
judge to assign this phone monitor to monitor phone calls
but on record I the respondent objected to this form
of selecting phone monitor in fact before his desk was a
reconsideration of this method was not at all acceptable,
the court judge went on to direct witness for the petitioner
to lie in necessary on record to establish the base to
overturn previous judge's orders by suggesting that
that what was presented was not enough to terminate
visitation already in place, and stated that otherwise
some would say what was he thinking, then after all
proceedings went on to be a of the law please
I beg that someone look into My Case and investigate it ASAP
Thanking you in advance