

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-060

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged pro tem justice of the peace improperly upheld an injunction against harassment against her.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 12, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 12, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-060

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name

Judge's Name

Instructions. Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached Pages

RE: v.s. Docket
Court, AZ
My son and I are filing a formal complaint
against Judge for not listening to me or my son, dismissing evidence I
had of Mr. serious mental illness, paranoid schizophrenia and
how serious it is that he is hearing "entities" who are telling him it is "his job to
kill people." And that he is a "murderer" and it is "His job to murder people."
Judge dismissed me laughing and said, "And, let's say that it is true, why
would you want to be with someone like that?" First of all, that is outrageous and
unbelievably unprofessional conduct unbecoming to a magistrate or judge. She
essentially called me a liar. She ran a CIRCUS in that courtroom like she was a
schoolgirl and everything I said was a joke. She had NO compassion at all for what
I had been through as a result of Mr. illness and what he put me through
by telling me he was deeply in love with me and spending months and days with
me telling me about a Satanist who had a dragon going in and out of her vagina
and how he was terrified of going home as she would have psychic sex with him
and blow up his genitals like the size of a donkey. He said this stuff to me nightly
and I tried to be compassionate and understanding and loving . And then he just
dropped out of sight. No explanation or response. I suffered a lot emotionally and
mentally and got seriously depressed and Judge refused to listen to the
serious nature of the allegations I tried to bring forth and my son suffered about
that as well. I was suicidal at one point as a result of just being dropped, rejected
and abandoned but I am BACK! Judge thought it was funny and not even
worth talking about that Mr. according to a psychiatrist friend of mine and
to therapist Mr. as well, that he is capable of committing a serious
crime and having little to no awareness of it. I am a retired psychiatric social
worker and know about these things. I was and am no threat to Mr. I was
trying to get him to respond to me and perhaps get some help for him. But got NO
RESPONSE other than an injunction filed and granted to Mr I had
suffered and become seriously depressed but that is not and WAS not the issue. I
was suffering as well as he just dropped out of my life with no explanation or
virtually none., Sending him emails is not harassing..he made NO attempt to
respond to me or tell me to leave him alone except for filing the injunction. I am no
threat to except maybe to get him titled. Judge behavior was
unconscionable. She should be removed from the bench. This man is a real threat
to the community and to others. She made her decision against me before she
even heard me and she refused to believe that he was a schizophrenic even though
he has a documented diagnosis to that effect .She allowed people to talk in court
when I was speaking, talked over me and mocked me and in general is a disgrace
to the court and jurisprudence. She laughed about Mr. serious mental