

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-105

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased against her, did not allow all parties an opportunity to be heard, and failed to abide by the Indian Child Welfare Act.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission approved sending the commissioner an advisory letter reminding her of her obligation under Rule 2.6 to accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding the right to be heard. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: August 17, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez
Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the commissioner
on August 17, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

**SEE NEXT PAGE
FOR
ACTUAL COMPLAINT**

JUDICIAL COMPLAINT

Honorable [redacted] allowed a proceedings to continue despite the fact the [redacted] openly admitted to in open court, that she had not properly notified [redacted] of the hearing that was taking place. Our case is [redacted] case and SHALL be treated as such. Honorable [redacted] then allowed [redacted] to SPEAK on BEHALF of [redacted].

In open court on [redacted] at approximately [redacted] in [redacted] courtroom at [redacted] County [redacted] in [redacted] Arizona, TITLE [redacted] and TITLE [redacted] were blatantly violated.

Honorable [redacted] in allowing the [redacted] to proceed with the hearing after making her open admission in open court that she had indeed, NOT PROPERLY NOTIFIED [redacted] per [redacted] is a [redacted] that MUST be adhered to in this case.

Based on the afore stated information Honorable [redacted] has committed BIAS PREJUDACE in this case.

Honorable [redacted] has repeatedly committed BIAS PREJUDACE since the beginning of this case in [redacted] case number [redacted] Honorable [redacted] ruled that our case would not be considered [redacted] Honorable [redacted] had no authority to override [redacted] declaring our case [redacted], when it is very clear this is and has always been [redacted] case according to TITLE [redacted]. An [redacted] that is ELIGIBLE for [redacted]

and the

Not only were these they are the
to an . Honorable
acts as if she is above this and
needs to be held accountable. Honorable has made a
Mockery of this for years and VIOLATED countless

ON WAS PERMITTED TO
ADDRESS THE COURT VERBALLY WITH A WRITTEN STATEMENT FOR
RECORD, HONORABLE CUT HIM SHORT IN THE MIDDLE
WHEN SHE REALIZED HE WAS NOTIFYING THE COURT OF THE
VIOLATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN ONGOING IN OUR CASE. HONORABLE
REFUSED TO ALLOW THE STATEMENT TO FINISH BEING
READ IN COURT, STATING SHE DID NOT HAVE TIME TO LISTEN TO
THIS. THIS STATEMENT WAS TO REVEAL VIOLATIONS TITLE
. THIS KIND OF DISREGARD IS EXACTLY WHY THE
IS SUEING . AS WELL AS THE COURTS HAVE
NOT BEEN ALLOWING TO SPEAK IN COURT AND
DISREGARDING AS IF IT DOESN'T EXIST. THE CLAIMS ALSO
DISCUSS HOW STACKS THE DECK AGAINST I
HAVE SPOKEN TO OF THE AND HE FEELS THAT
NOT ONLY HAVE OUR RIGHTS BEEN VIOLATED, A CRIME HAS BEEN
COMMITTED.

IT IS OUR INTENTION TO HAVE THIS MATTER BROUGHT BEFORE A
FEDERAL COURT. I HAVE ALSO FILED A COMPLAINT WITH THE STATE
BAR OF ARIZONA AGAINST MY LAWYER FOR NOT MAKING

SURE MY RIGHTS WERE
PROTECTED. I WAS UNDER THE FALSE ASSUMPTION THAT MY LAWYER
WAS TO REPRESENT ME AND AT LEAST KNOW WHAT IS. AT
THE VERY LEAST A COMMISSIONER HEARING AN CASE THAT IS
ABLE TO MAKE ANY KIND OF RULING SHOULD BE KNOWLEDGABLE AND
FOLLOW THE VERY .

IS VERY CLEAR, AND BEING A
. HONORABLE IS MISTAKEN IF SHE
THINKS FOR ONE MOMENT I WILL NOT STAND UP FOR
RIGHTS.

INCLUDED IS . THE
STATEMENT OF RECORD FROM AS WELL
AS MINUTE ENTRY FOR . ON THE MINUTE ENTRY IT
WILL SHOW THAT THE WAS NOT IN ATTENDANCE.

Thank You for your time and consideration.

DATE

DATE