

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-149

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly refused to allow him to represent himself.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 22, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on September 22, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

On \_\_\_\_\_ I filed a \_\_\_\_\_, enclosed is  
A copy.  
On \_\_\_\_\_ was a court date to hear oral arguements on the  
I \_\_\_\_\_ would not allow me oral arguements on my motion, and denied me  
my motion.  
I then requested verbally to go pro per. \_\_\_\_\_ denied my request without  
asking the necessary questions mandated by Farett v. California. No cause or  
reason was given for the denial.  
I asked \_\_\_\_\_ if she was denigning me my rights and she stated "  
"and I was escorted out before the hearing was finished.  
Under a conflict of Intrest the Court is allowing \_\_\_\_\_ to represent me.  
Judge \_\_\_\_\_ is violating my right to effective assistance of Counsel and  
my right to represent myself, and my Right to speak on my behalf during any  
hearing especially at a \_\_\_\_\_ hearing set by motion and  
scheduled for oral arguement in a conspiracy with the State of Arizona and  
defense Attorneys to convict me undully unjustly and in violations of my  
United States of America, and State of Arizona constitutions.  
I believe at this time I cannot receive a fair trial. On \_\_\_\_\_  
I filed \_\_\_\_\_ motions. A six (6) page Defendant (second)  
and \_\_\_\_\_ and a nineteen (19) page Motion  
with the court. I also filed \_\_\_\_\_

complaints with the Az. State Bar against

File no:

Thank You for your time

P.S. I could not receive a copy of the Az. Code of Judicial Conduct and the commission's rules. Therefore I hope I've complied with the standards for placing a complaint against a judge legally