

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-150

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a pro tem municipal court judge improperly granted an injunction against harassment.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 9, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 9, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:

Date:

**INSTRUCTIONS**

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

Judge issued an order of injunction against harassment based on criminal damage to private property that the Plaintiffs told to the police they witnessed. However both Plaintiffs stated under oath in court, I have the transcripts. that they did not see the act and the judge granted their iniunctions anvwav. notarized statements as well as video proving at the exact time and date of this allegation. The judge will not allow me to show this proof in court. I showed all this proof to the officer that took the Plaintiffs Statements and after seeing it was convinced The officer said he would forward another report to the city prosecutor for review. The problem with all this is now which the plaintiffs used to gain illegal injunctons upon us.

Date of offense,  
Names of Plaintiffs,  
Names of Defendants.  
Name of Court,  
Date of hearing requested by the Defendant,

Case no.'s

The judge also stated to the Plaintiff that they had the and yet they produced none,