

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-223

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly failed to grant him a default judgment and was prejudiced against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 30, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 30, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.**

**I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.**

Signature:

Date:

**INSTRUCTIONS**

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

It appears Judge \_\_\_\_\_ is working with lawyers to prevent  
from receiving a fair unbiased civil hearing in his court room. Plaintiff won a  
\_\_\_\_\_ and an \_\_\_\_\_ and Judge \_\_\_\_\_ was allegedly meeting  
with \_\_\_\_\_ attorney to discover a way to \_\_\_\_\_ Entry of Default  
Judgment. See pleading on enclosed Motion file in court.

2015-223

**Cell:**

E-MAIL:

Representing: Self without an Attorney

**Court Case:**

**Attn:**

Arizona Judicial Commissioner  
1501 W. Washington St #221  
Phoenix, AZ 85007-3231

Attention Mr.

There appears to be some corruptions brewing in Arizona  
would suggest that it is almost impossible for an  
hearing.

System. Court records  
to receive a fair court

On Plaintiff was ordered by Judge to  
file an Complaint by Plaintiff complied with Judge request.

Defendants Company and Dr. had to  
Answer/Response to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint. Defendants' lawyer, Mr.  
from the law firm took to Answer/Response to Plaintiff's  
Amended Complaint. Rule 15(a)(3) only allow 10 days to Answer/Response to an  
Amended Complaint,

Mr. allegedly tried to lie and cheat Plaintiff by stating Ariz. Rule 15(a)(3), 6(a) and 6(e)  
allowed Defendants five (5) extra days to Answer/Response to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

Ariz. Rule 15(a)(3) states Plaintiff/Defendant have five (5) extra days to Answer/Response to an  
Amended Complaint if Plaintiff's/Defendant's address is unknown and the Amended Complaint  
was sent to their last known address. Defendants' address was known.

According to Ariz. Rule 15(a)(3) Plaintiff is entitled to a Default Judgement because Defendants  
did not Answer/Response timely. However, it is speculated that has deep pockets, and  
they will use those deep pockets to dismiss Plaintiff's Default Judgment, regardless of cost.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ is expected to dismiss Plaintiff's Default Judgment without giving a reason for Dismissing Plaintiff's Amended Complaint. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ can't give a reason for Dismissing Plaintiff's Amended Complaint because a legal reason does not exist. There are no Arizona Laws that will grant Defendants a dismissal for being \_\_\_\_\_ late in filing an Answer/Response, unless their address was unknown. Only alleged deep pockets from an insurance company can dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Judgment.

Plaintiff is asking \_\_\_\_\_ Arizona Judicial \_\_\_\_\_ Arizona State Bar President and Arizona FBI Headquarter to make sure all Americans receive fair, unbiased, court treatments, in Arizona's Court System.

If Judge \_\_\_\_\_ can come up with one (1) Ariz. Rule that would allow Defendants relief from Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Plaintiff will drop his lawsuit against \_\_\_\_\_  
Why should Arizona's citizens pay for a lawsuit that should be paid for by \_\_\_\_\_ Company?

Sincerely,

Plaintiff

**PS** A background check will show my records are cleaner than \_\_\_\_\_ I am almost \_\_\_\_\_ and never been in trouble. I speculate they are searching for a reason to put me in \_\_\_\_\_ If they find something, it is fabricated. Usually I \_\_\_\_\_ take any \_\_\_\_\_ or associate or

**For Details See Enclosed**